Elementary Particles I

3 – Strong Interaction

Isospin, Resonances, Strangeness, Unitary Symmetries

1

Spring 2007

Strong Interaction

Originally pictured as an attractive force between nucleons, required to overcome repulsive Coulomb interaction in nuclei Main features:

- •Strength
- •Short range
- •Charge independence

For a long time, difficult to understand: lot of guesswork, many models Today, believed to be a *residual force* between 'color neutral' particles (hadrons), a remnant of color interaction between quarks and gluons

Somewhat similar to Van der Waals/Covalent bond between 'neutral' molecules, coming from electromagnetic interaction between charged electrons and nuclei

Yukawa Theory

First attempt to model strong interaction after the electromagnetic: Exchange of mediator particles \rightarrow Prediction of *pion*

- Mass > 0 Limited range
- Spin $\neq 1$ Vector particle would yield
 - repulsive forces between identical particle

Ch arg *ed*, *Neutral* Same force for *pp*, *nn*, *pn*

Electromagnetism

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \varphi = -\rho \quad \text{Wave equation}$$
Scalar potential
$$\nabla^2 \varphi = \rho \quad \text{Static case}$$

$$\rho_G(\mathbf{r}) = e\delta(\mathbf{r}) \quad \text{Point source}$$
at the origin
$$\rightarrow \varphi_G(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{e}{r} \quad \text{Green's function}$$

$$\equiv \text{ Coulomb potential}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \varphi - m^2 = -\rho \quad \text{Wave equation}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \varphi - m^2 = -\rho \quad \text{Wave equation}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \varphi - m^2 = -\rho \quad \text{Wave equation}$$

$$\frac{\nabla^2 \varphi + m^2 = \rho \quad \text{Static case}}{\Gamma} \quad \text{Static case}$$

$$\rho_G(\mathbf{r}) = g \delta(\mathbf{r}) \quad \text{Point source}$$

$$at \text{ the origin}$$

$$\rightarrow \varphi_G(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{g \ e^{-mr}}{r} \quad \text{Green's function}$$

$$\equiv \text{Yukawa potential}$$

Yukawa

Spring 2007

Pions

Discovered after the II World War (Cosmic Rays, Accelerators) Properties

Macc	∫135 MeV	Neutral	
11055	[139 MeV	Charged	
Spin	0		
Parity			
Charge parity	÷		
Lifetime	25 10 ⁻⁹ s	Charged	
Lifetime	10 ⁻¹⁶ s	Neutral	
Decay modes	$\int \mu \nu$	Charged	
(Dominant)	$1 \gamma\gamma$	Neutral	

Stable vs. strong decays, as the *lightest hadron* Copiously produced at first accelerators (synchrocylotrons) Charged pions easily focused into collimated, high energy beams

Scattering

As for electromagnetic, strong interaction can be investigated by scattering experiments Perform experiments like

$$p+p, p+n, \pi^{\pm}+p, \pi^{\pm}+n$$

Pion: Spinless \rightarrow Understanding πN scattering easier than NN



Total cross section plots - Observe lot of structure

Potential Scattering

Formalism of potential scattering: Not a proper tool to describe relativistic regime (particle creation/destruction) \rightarrow Go for Field Theory

Nevertheless:

Believed to be somewhat useful to get insight into simplest (2-body) reactions, like elastic scattering, even at high energy

Past: Lot of work spent in the attempt of modeling 'simplest' reactions (E.g. Mandelstam representation, Regge poles, ...)

Now: The 'simplest' reactions finally understood to be quite more complicated than anticipated (\leftarrow Non perturbative interaction regime)

Model independent, non perturbative anatomy of potential scattering:

Phase shifts analysis Or: Try to reconstruct the interaction structure from scattering data

Spring 2007

Phase Shifts and Resonances - I

Partial waves expansion

$$d\sigma = v \frac{|f|^2}{v} d\Omega = |f|^2 d\Omega \rightarrow \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = |f|^2 \qquad f(\theta) = \frac{1}{2ik} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) \left(e^{2i\delta_l} - 1\right) P_l(\cos\theta) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) \frac{e^{2i\delta_l} - 1}{2i} P_l(\cos\theta)$$

$$f_l = \frac{e^{2i\delta_l} - 1}{2i} = e^{i\delta_l} \frac{e^{i\delta_l} - e^{-i\delta_l}}{2i} = e^{i\delta_l} \sin\delta_l \rightarrow \frac{1}{f_l} = \frac{1}{\sin\delta_l} e^{-i\delta_l} = \frac{1}{\sin\delta_l} (\cos\delta_l - i\sin\delta_l) = \cot\delta_l - i$$

$$\rightarrow f_l = \frac{1}{\cot\delta_l - i}$$

$$\cot\delta_l \Big|_{\delta_l = \frac{\pi}{2}} = 0 - \frac{1}{\sin^2\delta_l} \Big|_{\delta_l = \frac{\pi}{2}} \left(\delta_l - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) + \dots \approx -\left(\delta_l - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$
For E_R such that $\delta_l(E_R) = \frac{\pi}{2}$, expand into power series around E_R :

$$\begin{split} &\delta_l\left(E\right) = \delta_l\left(E_R\right) + \frac{do_l}{dE}\Big|_{E=E_R}\left(E-E_R\right) + ..., \quad \frac{2}{\Gamma} \equiv \frac{do_l}{dE}\Big|_{E=E_R} \to \delta_l \approx \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{E-E_R}{\Gamma/2} \\ &\to \cot \delta_l \approx -\left(\delta_l - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = -\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{E-E_R}{\Gamma/2} - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \approx -\frac{E-E_R}{\Gamma/2} = \frac{E_R-E}{\Gamma/2} \\ &\to f_l \approx \frac{1}{\frac{\left(E_R-E\right)}{\Gamma/2} - i} = \frac{\Gamma/2}{E-E_R + i\Gamma/2} \quad \text{Breit-Wigner resonant amplitude} \end{split}$$

Spring 2007

E.Menichetti - Universita' di Torino

Phase Shifts and Resonances - II

Partial cross-section for *l* wave:

$$\rightarrow \left|f_{l}\right|^{2} = \sin^{2} \delta_{l} = \frac{\Gamma^{2}/4}{\left(E - E_{R}\right)^{2} + \Gamma^{2}/4},$$

Total cross-section= Sum of partial wave cross-sections

Often dominated by a resonance in one partial wave



Resonance 'symptoms':

Energia totale (u.a.)

a) Fast increasing phase shift, going through π/2 at maximum rate
b) |f_i|² strongly peaked
c) Wave function large
d) dδ/dk, and delay, strongly peaked

Resonances - I

Generalize concept of stationary state:

$$\psi(\mathbf{r},t) = \varphi(\mathbf{r})e^{-iE_0t} \to \int^{+\infty} e^{-iE_0t}e^{iEt}dt = \delta(E-E_0)$$

(Amplitude to find energy *E* when system prepared in the state ψ)

to a kind of non-stationary, decaying state $e^{-iEt} = e^{-i(E_0 - i\Gamma)t} = e^{-iE_0 t} e^{-\Gamma t}, \quad t > 0$ $\overset{+\infty}{\int}_{0}^{\infty} e^{-i(E_0 - i\Gamma)t} e^{iEt} dt = \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-i(E_0 - E - i\Gamma)t} dt = -\frac{1}{E_0 - E - i\Gamma} e^{-i(E_0 - E - i\Gamma)t} \Big|_{0}^{+\infty} = \frac{1}{E - E_0 + i\Gamma}$ (Breit-Wigner amplitude to find energy E when system prepared in the state ψ) Probability density: $|\psi|^2 \propto \left| \frac{1}{E - E_0 + i\Gamma} \right|^2 = \left| \frac{E - E_0 - i\Gamma}{(E - E_0)^2 + \Gamma^2} \right|^2 = \frac{(E - E_0 - i\Gamma)(E - E_0 + i\Gamma)}{[(E - E_0)^2 + \Gamma^2]^2} = \frac{((E - E_0)^2 + \Gamma^2)}{[(E - E_0)^2 + \Gamma^2]^2} = \frac{1}{(E - E_0)^2 + \Gamma^2}$ $\Gamma = \frac{1}{\text{Time constant of decaying state } \approx \text{ time uncertainty}} \xrightarrow{\text{Time-Energy Indeterminacy Relation}} \rightarrow \Delta E \Delta t \sim \Gamma \frac{1}{\Gamma} = 1$

Spring 2007

E.Menichetti - Universita' di Torino

Resonances - II



Understanding that the effective potential picture cannot be taken too seriously to represent reality, what is the actual origin of the many, observed strong interaction resonances?

Propagators in the *s*-channel - I

Take first a QED example: Bhabha scattering at $\sqrt{s} \ll M_{z^0}$



Propagators in the *s*-channel - II

Among all fermion currents circulating in the loop, take a muon pair. Now, a $\mu^+\mu^-$ pair features bound states, like those of a hydrogen atom. For these, total energy is $< 2m_{\mu}$: Binding energy < 0

When
$$\sqrt{s} = E_{CM} \sim M_{bound} \equiv M$$

 $q^2 = s = E_{CM}^2$
 $\rightarrow \frac{1}{q^2 \left(1 - \overline{\Pi}_{\gamma}^{(2)} \left(q^2\right)\right)} \approx \frac{1}{q^2 - M \left(M - i\Gamma\right)} = \frac{1}{E_{CM}^2 - M^2 + iM\Gamma}$
 $\rightarrow \frac{1}{q^2 \left(1 - \overline{\Pi}_{\gamma}^{(2)} \left(q^2\right)\right)} \approx \frac{1}{\left(E_{CM} - M\right) \left(E_{CM} + M\right)} + iM\Gamma} \approx \frac{1}{2M} \frac{1}{\left(E_{CM} - M\right) \left(+i\Gamma/2\right)}$
Breit-Wigner form

The existence of bound states for the current coupled to the photon is reflected into resonant behavior of the s-channel scattering amplitude

NB Resonant peaks in total, elastic $e^+ e^-$ cross-section not observed because of their exceedingly small width

Propagators in the *s*-channel - III

General rule:

Every time the intermediate state can couple to an unstable state (excited bound state, genuine elementary particle coupled to decay channels, ...), the s-channel propagator shows resonant behavior when the total energy is close to the mass of the unstable state

Spring 2007

Propagators in the *t*-channel - I

The same propagator describes the *t*-channel amplitude, $t=q^2<0$:

$$\frac{1}{q^{2}\left(1-\overline{\Pi}_{\gamma}^{(2)}\left(q^{2}\right)\right)} \approx \frac{1}{q^{2}-M\left(M-i\Gamma\right)} \underset{\Gamma\ll M}{\approx} \frac{1}{q^{2}-M^{2}} \quad \text{'Pole' amplitude}$$

In this case, there is *no* resonant behavior: $q^2 - M^2 < 0$ strictly

Rather, the amplitude can be seen as an extension of the virtual photon idea, corresponding to the exchange of a *virtual particle*, with mass *M* and width Γ , or lifetime $1/\Gamma$. In the previous example, it would be a *virtual muonic atom*.

As for the virtual photon , the virtual particle exchanged is said to be *off mass-shell*:

$$q^2 \neq M^2$$

Spring 2007

Propagators in the *t*-channel - II

Besides being very appealing as a qualitative visualization of processes, this interpretation also appears to be superficially consistent with perturbation theory. But...

...It is unfortunately not very useful as a tool for quantitative work in strong interactions physics, just because perturbative expansion cannot be maintained for strong coupling constant.

Most simply, diagrams with more than one particle exchanged correspond to amplitudes *larger* than diagrams with just one...

Spring 2007

One π Exchange \leftrightarrow Yukawa Potential

Nevertheless, just as an interesting exercise:

Take *NN* scattering at small q^2 as due to *one pion exchange*: This *can* be maintained, to some extent (or so one believes). Then

$$A \propto \frac{1}{q^2 - m_\pi^2}$$

In the static potential limit

$$E_{C} \approx E_{A}$$

$$q^{2} = (E_{C} - E_{A})^{2} - (\mathbf{p}_{C} - \mathbf{p}_{A})^{2} \approx -(\mathbf{p}_{C} - \mathbf{p}_{A})^{2} = -|\mathbf{q}|$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{1}{q^{2} - m_{\pi}^{2}} \approx \frac{1}{-|\mathbf{q}|^{2} - m_{\pi}^{2}} = -\frac{1}{|\mathbf{q}|^{2} + m_{\pi}^{2}}$$



Assuming Born approximation as valid here

$$V(r) \propto \int e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \left(-\frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{q}\right|^2 + m_{\pi}^2}\right) d^3\mathbf{q} \propto -\frac{e^{-m_{\pi}r}}{r}$$
 Yukawa potential

Spring 2007

⊿-Resonance: Formation

First observed by Fermi and collaborators in πN scattering (1951)

Indeed: Spin, quark composition are different!

With some caveats, can be considered as a kind of excited nucleon state

$$\pi^+ + p \to \Delta^{++} \to \pi^+ + p$$

Also observed in other charge states Δ^+ , Δ^- , Δ^0 and in many different processes (strong, e.m. and weak)

Some analogy with photon excitation of atomic levels

 $\gamma + A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \gamma + A$, A ground state, B excited level

Good indication that the nucleon is a composite object



۸+

Discovery of \varDelta - 1951



Δ^{++} Resonance



△ Resonance Formation - I

Take *mp* scattering at low energy: use phase shift analysis Some complication arising from spin 1/2

$$k \sim m, r \leq R = \frac{1}{m} \rightarrow l = kr \leq 1$$
 Limited range, low energy: just 2 waves *S* and *P*

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \oplus 0 \oplus l = \frac{1}{2} \oplus l = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & S \\ \frac{1}{2,3/2} & P \end{cases}$$
Expand first incident wave:

$$e^{ikz} \qquad \chi_{1/2}^{+1/2} = \frac{1}{2ikr} \sum_{l=0}^{1} (2l+1) \left(e^{ikr} - (-1)^l e^{-ikr} \right) P_l(\cos \theta) \chi_{1/2}^{+1/2}$$

$$e^{ikz} \chi_{1/2}^{+1/2} = \frac{1}{2ikr} \sum_{l=0}^{1} \sqrt{4\pi (2l+1)} \left(e^{ikr} - (-1)^l e^{-ikr} \right) Y_l^0(\cos \theta) \chi_{1/2}^{+1/2}$$

$$Y_l^0 \chi_{1/2}^{+1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2l+1}} Y_{1+1/2}^{+1/2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2l+1}} Y_{1-1/2}^{+1/2}, \qquad y_{1-1/2}^{+1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2l+1}} Y_{1-1/2}^{+1/2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2l+1}} Y_{1-1/2}^{1/2/2}, \qquad y_{1-1/2}^{+1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2l+1}} Y_{1-1/2}^0 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2l+1}} Y_{1-1/2}^{1/2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2l+1}} Y_{1-1/2}^{1/2}, \qquad y_{1-1/2}^{+1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2ikr}} \sum_{l=0}^{1} \sqrt{4\pi (2l+1)} \left(e^{ikr} - (-1)^l e^{-ikr} \right) Y_l^0(\cos \theta) \chi_{1/2}^{+1/2} = \frac{1}{2ikr} \sum_{l=0}^{1} \sqrt{4\pi (2l+1)} \left(e^{ikr} - (-1)^l e^{-ikr} \right) Y_l^0(\cos \theta) \chi_{1/2}^{+1/2} = \frac{1}{2ikr} \sum_{l=0}^{1} \sqrt{4\pi (2l+1)} \left(e^{ikr} - (-1)^l e^{-ikr} \right) Y_l^0(\cos \theta) \chi_{1/2}^{+1/2} = \frac{1}{2ikr} \sum_{l=0}^{1} \sqrt{4\pi (2l+1)} \left(e^{ikr} - (-1)^l e^{-ikr} \right) Y_l^0(\cos \theta) \chi_{1/2}^{+1/2} = \frac{1}{2ikr} \sum_{l=0}^{1} \sqrt{4\pi (2l+1)} \left(e^{ikr} - (-1)^l e^{-ikr} \right) (\sqrt{l+1} y_{1+1/2}^{+1/2} - \sqrt{l} y_{1-1/2}^{+1/2})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2ikr} \sum_{l=0}^{1} \sqrt{4\pi} \left(e^{ikr} - (-1)^l e^{-ikr} \right) (\sqrt{l+1} y_{1+1/2}^{+1/2} - \sqrt{l} y_{1-1/2}^{+1/2})$$
Spring 2007 E.Menichetti - Universita' di Torino 20

△ Resonance Formation - II

Scattering amplitude: Phase shifts only modify *outgoing* spherical wave

$$f(\theta) = \frac{1}{2ik} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1)(a_l-1) P_l(\cos\theta) \to f(\theta) = \frac{\sqrt{4\pi}}{2ik} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (\sqrt{l+1}y_{l+1/2}^{+1/2}(a_l^+-1) - \sqrt{l}y_{l-1/2}^{+1/2}(a_l^--1))$$

$$a_l^{\pm} = e^{2i\delta_l^{\pm}} - 1$$

$$f(\theta) = \frac{1}{2ik} \sum_{l=0}^{1} [(l+1)(a_l^+-1) + l(a_l^--1)] P_l^0(\cos\theta) \chi_{1/2}^{+1/2} + (a_l^+-a_l^-) P_l^{+1}(\cos\theta) e^{i\varphi} \chi_{1/2}^{-1/2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2ik} \sum_{l=0}^{1} [(l+1)(a_l^+-1) + l(a_l^--1)] P_l^0(\cos\theta) \chi_{1/2}^{+1/2} + \frac{1}{2ik} \sum_{l=0}^{1} (a_l^+-a_l^-) P_l^{+1}(\cos\theta) e^{i\varphi} \chi_{1/2}^{-1/2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2ik} \sum_{l=0}^{1} [(l+1)(a_l^+-1) + l(a_l^--1)] P_l^0(\cos\theta) \chi_{1/2}^{+1/2} + \frac{1}{2ik} \sum_{l=0}^{1} (a_l^+-a_l^-) P_l^{+1}(\cos\theta) e^{i\varphi} \chi_{1/2}^{-1/2}$$
Spin non-flip amplitude Spin flip amplitude

Differential cross-section:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{k^2} |g(\theta)|^2 + |h(\theta)|^2 \quad g,h \text{ spin eigenfunctions orthogonal}$$

$$P_0^0 = 1, P_1^0 = \cos\theta, P_1^{+1} = -\sin\theta \text{ Associate Legendre functions}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{k^2} |(a_0^+ - 1) + [2(a_1^+ - 1) + (a_1^- - 1)]\cos\theta|^2 + |(a_1^+ - a_1^-)(-\sin\theta)|^2$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{k^2} (A_0 + A_1\cos\theta + A_2\cos^2\theta), A_0, A_1, A_2 \text{ Energy dependent coefficients}$$

Spring 2007

E.Menichetti - Universita' di Torino

\varDelta Resonance Formation - III

Around $\sqrt{s} \sim 1230$ MeV one finds

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{k^2} \left(1 + 3\cos^2\theta \right)$$

consistent with the decay of a J=3/2 state

Indeed, taking for example
$$J_z = +1/2$$
:
 $|3/2, +1/2\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} |1/2, -1/2\rangle Y_1^{+1} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |1/2, +1/2\rangle Y_1^0$
 $\frac{dN}{d\Omega} \propto \frac{1}{3} |Y_1^{+1}|^2 + \frac{2}{3} |Y_1^0|^2 = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta + \frac{2}{3} \cos^2 \theta = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{3}{6} \cos^2 \theta \propto 1 + 3\cos^2 \theta$
Width
 $\Delta E = Breit-Wigner full width at half maximum ~ 100 MeV$
 $\Delta t \sim 1/\Delta E = 1/100 \ MeV^{-1}$

$$\rightarrow \Delta t = 10^{-2} \cdot \hbar c \cdot 1/c = 10^{-2}$$
 197 MeV fm $\cdot 1/(3 \times 10^{23} \text{ fm s}) \sim 0.7 \ 10^{-23} \text{ s}$

Parity

$$\eta_{\Delta} = \eta_{p} \eta_{\pi} \eta_{orb} = (+1)(-1)(-1)^{l=1} = +1$$

Spring 2007

E.Menichetti - Universita' di Torino

DNA Markers : *A* Angular Distributions

Experimental data nicely fit a simple picture where around $T_{\pi} = 200 \text{ MeV}$ the dominant amplitude is J=3/2, namely:

The large peak observed in the total cross-section can be traced back to a resonant amplitude in the L=1, J=3/2 partial wave

Several attempts to recover phase shifts from data in this energy range (Fermi, ...): Messy game, lots of ambiguities



E.Menichetti - Universita' di Torino

Δ^{++} : More Fingerprints



Spring 2007

E.Menichetti - Universita' di Torino

Production Resonances

With higher energy beams available, new processes become possible. Use *virtual pions* to excite nucleon levels

 $p + p \rightarrow n + \Delta^{++} \rightarrow n + p + \pi^+$

Resonance is *produced* in the *t*-channel, rather than *formed* in the *s*-channel.

Not directly observed in the crosssection vs. energy plot.

But: Resonance mass and quantum numbers are *invariant* properties, like the corresponding quantities of a stable particle



Spring 2007

The Bubble Chamber





Spring 2007

Bubble Chamber Events - I



Spring 2007

E.Menichetti - Universita' di Torino

Bubble Chamber Events - II



Spring 2007

Magnetic Analysis & Accuracy

Motion of a charged particle in a magnetic field: Cylindrical helix coaxial to B



Take 3 measured points, with single point accuracy σ Then:

$$s = x_{B} - \frac{x_{A} + x_{B}}{2} \to \sigma_{s}^{2} = \sigma^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2} = \frac{3}{2}\sigma^{2}$$
$$\frac{\sigma_{p_{\perp}}}{p_{\perp}} = \frac{\sigma_{s}}{s} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\frac{\sigma}{s} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\frac{\sigma 8p_{\perp}}{0.3BL^{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{300 \cdot 64}{18}}\frac{\sigma p_{\perp}}{BL^{2}} \approx 32.7\frac{\sigma p_{\perp}}{BL^{2}}$$

$$N \ge 10$$
, uniformly spaced points:
 $\frac{\sigma_{p_{\perp}}}{p_{\perp}} \approx 28.3 \frac{\sigma p_{\perp}}{BL^2 \sqrt{N+4}}$

Spring 2007

Bubble Chamber Reconstruction





Particle	px	py	pz	E
K-	8213.4	-248.3	15.2	8232
р	0	0	0	938.3
Sum	8213.4	-248.3	15.2	9170.3
K-	1481.8	27.8	224	1578.1
p-	149.7	-11.3	38.8	208.6
p+	37.9	-122.2	-22.7	190.7
р	1508.6	128.5	-70.5	1782.6
K0	3545.6	-162.9	-245	3592.4
Sum	6723.6	-140.1	-75.4	7352.4
Difference	-1489.8	108.2	-90.6	-1817.9

mass	1032.153
------	----------

This mass doesn't correspond to a known particle - so there must be at least two neutral particles from the collision leaving the bubble chamber undetected.

Spring 2007

\triangle -Resonance: Production

Observe Δ^{++} resonance production as a peak in the invariant (p, π^+) mass distribution

Take reaction

$$\pi^{+} p \to \pi^{+} p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$$
$$m_{p\pi_{1}}^{2} = \left(p_{p} + p_{\pi_{1}}\right)^{2} = \left(E_{p} + E_{\pi_{1}}\right)^{2} - \left(\mathbf{p}_{p} + \mathbf{p}_{\pi_{1}}\right)^{2}$$
$$m_{p\pi_{2}}^{2} = \left(p_{p} + p_{\pi_{2}}\right)^{2} = \left(E_{p} + E_{\pi_{1}}\right)^{2} - \left(\mathbf{p}_{p} + \mathbf{p}_{\pi_{2}}\right)^{2}$$

2 entries per reconstructed event: Count everything



tions. The curves were constrained to be proportioned identically because the two histograms were simultaneously least-squares fitted.



FIG. 2. Two-pion invariant-mass distribution from the reaction ${}^{\dagger}\rho \rightarrow \pi^{+}\rho\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$. The fitted curve is composed of ρ^{0} resonance ${}^{\star+}$ reflections, phase space, and combinatorial background in nase space, and ven in Table I.

F16. 3. Proton-pion invariant-mass distribution from the reaction $\pi^+p \to \pi^+p\pi^+\pi^-$. The curve is the best fit to Δ^{++} reso-nance, ρ^0 reflection, phase space, and combinatorial background in the proportions given in Table I.

The four functional forms for the hypothesized reactions were obtained by a Monte Carlo generation and contain no production dynamics. The fits to the two distributions are of suitable quality, exhibiting χ^2 values of 111 and 176 with 90 degrees of freedom in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively.



graph and only those selected as $\Delta^{++}p^0$ a 524 events are contained with the selected set of the selected set of the selected set of the s ear in the sha ambiguity and

Spring 2007

E.Menichetti - Universita' di Torino

Meson Resonances - I

Expect resonant behavior also for mesonic systems, e.g. $\pi\pi$: Virtual and real pion coupled at the strong vertex

Observation of meson resonances possible in production experiments

Remark:

Taking baryon resonances only, possible isospin: Minimum coupling is between nucleon and pion \rightarrow Expect $1 \oplus 1/2 = 1/2, 3/2$ as observed Take meson resonances: Minimum coupling is between pion and pion \rightarrow Expect $1 \oplus 1 = 0, 1, 2$ I=2 mesons not observed



Meson Resonances - II

Take reaction

 $\pi^- + p \rightarrow n + \pi^+ + \pi^-$

Observe strong enhancements for

 $m_{\pi\pi} \sim 760, \ 1260, \ 1550 \ MeV$ $m_{\pi\pi} \sim 1230 - 1550 \ MeV$



200

Interpretation:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{Meson} & \operatorname{Baryon} \\ & \\ \rho(760) \\ f_0(1250) \\ g(1550) \end{array} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}, \quad \Delta^{+,-}(1232) \rightarrow n\pi^{\pm} \end{array}$

Spin-parity of the ρ Meson - I

Use angular distributions to investigate ρ spin, parity

$$S_{\pi} = 0 \rightarrow J_{\rho} = L_{\pi\pi} \equiv L$$
$$\rightarrow \psi_{final} \propto Y_{l}^{m} (\theta, \varphi)$$
$$\eta_{P}^{(\rho)} = \eta_{P}^{(\pi)} \eta_{P}^{(\pi)} (-1)^{l} = (-1)$$

Suppose the produced ρ mesons uniformly populate the 2/+1 J_3 substates: Then, by a property of spherical harmonics

$$\frac{dP}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{2J+1} \sum_{m=-l}^{+l} Y_l^m(\theta,\varphi) Y_l^{*m}(\theta,\varphi); \quad \sum_{m=-l}^{+l} Y_l^m Y_l^{*m} = \frac{2l+1}{4\pi}$$
$$\rightarrow \frac{dP}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{2J+1} \frac{2J+1}{4\pi} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \quad \text{Uniform distribution}$$

So a non-uniform angular distribution indicates some *polarization* of the decaying state, useful to perform spin-parity analysis

Spring 2007

Spin-parity of the ρ Meson - II

Observe CM angular distribution for different $\pi\pi$ mass 'slices'

In the ρ resonance mass region (about 700-800 MeV)

$$\frac{dP}{d\Omega} \propto \cos^2 \theta \propto \left| Y_1^0 \left(\cos \theta \right) \right|^2 \to l = 1$$

→ The ρ is a *vector* particle Interestingly, in the f_0 mass region (about 1250-1350 MeV) observe some indication of spin 2

$$\frac{dP}{d\Omega} \propto \left(3\cos^2\theta - 1\right)^2 \propto \left|Y_2^0\right|^2 \to l = 2$$



Spring 2007

Isospin - I

Charge independence leads to a new classification scheme: All hadrons cast into isospin multiplets Strong interaction identical for all members of each multiplet

proton pneutron n 2 quantum states of the *nucleon* $N = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$ 2 states system - isospinor Base $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv p$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \equiv n$ Base states: *doublet* $\begin{array}{c|c} \pi^{-} \\ \pi^{0} \\ \pi^{-} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 3 \text{ quantum states of the } pion \\ \pi^{-} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 3 \text{ state system - isovector} \end{array}$ Base $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \pi^+, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \pi^0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \pi^-$ Base states: *triplet* B = Baryon number Q = Charge in e units $Q = I_3 + B/2$ Gell-Mann - Nishijima relation $I_3 = Isospin 3rd component$ Spring 2007 E.Menichetti - Universita' di Torino
Isospin - II

Isospins add up as angular momenta (Astonished? More on this later...) For πN system obtain:

$$\pi: I = 1$$

N: I = 1/2 $\rightarrow \pi N: I = 1 \oplus 1/2 = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{doublet} \\ 3/2 & \text{quadruplet} \end{cases}$

By using Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, expand physical (particle) states into total isospin eigenstates

$$\begin{split} I_{N} &= 1/2 \quad ; \quad |\mathbf{p}\rangle = |1/2, +1/2\rangle \quad , \quad |\mathbf{n}\rangle = |1/2, -1/2\rangle \\ I_{\pi} &= 1 \quad ; \quad |\pi^{+}\rangle = |1, +1\rangle \quad , \quad |\pi^{0}\rangle = |1, 0\rangle \quad , \quad |\pi^{-}\rangle = |1, -1\rangle \\ |\pi^{-}p\rangle &= |1, -1, 1/2, +1/2\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} |3/2, -1/2\rangle - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |1/2, -1/2\rangle, \quad |\pi^{+}n\rangle = |1, +1, 1/2, -1/2\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} |3/2, +1/2\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |1/2, +1/2\rangle \\ |\pi^{+}p\rangle &= |1, +1, 1/2, +1/2\rangle = |3/2, +3/2\rangle, \quad |\pi^{-}n\rangle = |1, -1, 1/2, -1/2\rangle = |3/2, -3/2\rangle \\ |\pi^{0}p\rangle &= |1, 0, 1/2, +1/2\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |3/2, +1/2\rangle - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} |1/2, +1/2\rangle, \quad |\pi^{0}n\rangle = |1, 0, 1/2, -1/2\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |3/2, -1/2\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} |1/2, -1/2\rangle \end{split}$$

Spring 2007

Isospin - III

Based on the observed regularities among hadron multiplets, guess isospin is a new *symmetry* for hadrons, connected to some *invariance* property (like angular momentum). Non-trivial conservation rule follows:

Total isospin conserved by all strong processes

nteresting predictions for πN scattering	and reactions:	Guess (data OK):
$\begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{A}) & + & \cdots & + & \cdots \\ & & & & & \\ \end{bmatrix}$	((A)) = + = +	$A_{3/2} >> A_{1/2}$
$\begin{cases} (A)\pi^{-}p \to \pi^{-}p \\ (B)\pi^{-}n \to \pi^{-}n \end{cases} \to A_{A} = A_{B} = A_{3/2} \text{pure I} = 3/2 \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} (A)\pi^{-}p \to \pi^{-}p \\ (B)\pi^{-}n \to \pi^{-}n \end{cases} \to \sigma_{A} = c \end{cases}$	
$\begin{cases} (A) \pi^+ n \to \pi^+ n \\ (B) \pi^- n \to \pi^- n \end{cases} \to A_A = \frac{1}{3} A_{3/2} + \frac{2}{3} A_{1/2}, A_B = A_{3/2} \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} (A)\pi^+n \to \pi^+n \\ (B)\pi^-n \to \pi^-n \end{cases} \to \sigma_A = \frac{1}{9} \end{cases}$	σ_{B}
$\begin{cases} (A) \pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p \\ (B) \pi^- p \to \pi^- p \end{cases} \to A_A = A_{3/2}, A_B = \frac{1}{3} A_{3/2} - \frac{2}{3} A_{1/2} \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} (A)\pi^+p \to \pi^+p \\ (B)\pi^-p \to \pi^-p \end{cases} \to \sigma_A \stackrel{\bullet}{=} 0 \end{cases}$	$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$
$\begin{cases} (A) \pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p \\ (B) \pi^- p \to \pi^0 n \end{cases} \to A_A = A_{3/2}, A_B = \sqrt{\frac{2}{9}} A_{3/2} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{9}} A_{1/2} \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} (A)\pi^+p \to \pi^+p \\ (B)\pi^-p \to \pi^0n \end{cases} \to \sigma_A = \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$	$\frac{\partial}{\partial 2}\sigma_B$

Spring 2007

What is Spin? - I

Take first *ordinary* spin as guideline: For sake of simplification, use a non relativistic, bottom-up approach

For any physical system with m > 0, we are allowed to choose CM as a reference frame.

When the system is rotationally invariant, its states are observed to group into multiplets of size n, n=1,2,3,... (size n = number of states)

States of a multiplet: Same energy

For an elementary particle: no orbital degrees of freedom in this frame

- →States belonging to different multiplets must be distinguished by some internal quantum number: Provisionally call the corresponding observable the particle *spin*
- →States of any given multiplet must be identified by some *internal* quantum number: Provisionally call the corresponding observable the *3rd component* of the particle spin

What is Spin? - II

Question: What is the observable we have called *spin*?

Answer: Get some insight from conservation laws. Discover spin is just another kind of (non-orbital) angular momentum

For every system, then: J = L + S Total angular momentum

Extend to **J** known properties of **L** :

• By assuming rotational invariance, H and J^2 commute $\rightarrow J^2$, J_3 are conserved

• Besides other quantum numbers, all possible stationary states are then labeled by J^2 , J_3 according to angular momentum algebra:

- J² Eigenvalues: j(j+1), j = 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, 2,... Sequence of multiplets
- J_3 Eigenvalues: -j...+j, $2j+1 \equiv$ Multiplet size = 1, 2, 3,...

in agreement with observations

• Each multiplet is said to realize an *irreducible representation* of the 3D rotation group O(3) in the Hilbert space

What is Spin? - III

Representation: A set of matrices acting on some kind of 'vectors' Each matrix corresponds to a specific rotation

Since matrices represent 3D rotations of 'vectors': \rightarrow Must depend on 3 parameters (= rotation angles) \rightarrow Must have 3 independent matrices (= base) for each representation \rightarrow Must have 2j+1 independent 'vectors' (= base) for each representation

Since each matrix should preserve 'vector' norm:

Take 2j+1 = Odd integer $\rightarrow j = Integer$ In this case, 'vectors' are called *spherical tensors* Require *real*, *orthogonal* matrices \rightarrow 3 parameters OK

Take 2j+1 = Even integer $\rightarrow j$ = Half-Integer In this case, 'vectors' are called *spinors* Require *complex, unitary* matrices \rightarrow 4 parameters OK (?)

Matrix Fun - I

Take j=1/2: Represent rotations of 2-component spinors by 2x2 matrices

$$M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \text{ orthogonal} \to MM^{T} = 1 \to \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{2} + b^{2} & ac + bd \\ ac + bd & c^{2} + d^{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\to \begin{cases} a^{2} + b^{2} = 1 \\ c^{2} + d^{2} = 1 \\ ac + bd = 0 \end{cases} \text{ free parameter} \to \text{KO to represent a 3D rotation}$$

$$U \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \text{ unitary} \to UU^{\dagger} = 1 \to \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{a} & \overline{c} \\ \overline{b} & \overline{d} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a\overline{a} + b\overline{b} & a\overline{c} + b\overline{d} \\ c\overline{a} + d\overline{b} & c\overline{c} + d\overline{d} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\to \begin{cases} a\overline{a} + b\overline{b} = 1 \\ c\overline{c} + d\overline{d} = 1 \\ a\overline{c} + b\overline{d} = 0 \\ c\overline{a} + d\overline{b} = 0 \end{cases}$$
Possible because absolute phase of states is irrelevant
Require extra condition:
det $M = 1 \to ad - bc = 1 \to 3$ free parameters $\to OK$ to represent a 3D rotation

Spring 2007

Matrix Fun - II

Take the set of all the matrices satisfying the 4 conditions above: As shown before, the set is a representation of the group of rotations in 3D, O(3)

On the other hand, the set constitutes a group in itself , called the Special Unitary group of dimension 2, or SU(2).

We can then consider the set of all the irreducible representations of SU(2): it will contain all the tensor and spinor representations of O(3).

The moral: O(3) and SU(2) are more or less the same group

Why more or less?

They differ on their action at large: There are 2 SU(2) matrices corresponding to any O(3) matrix (Rotations by 2π and 4π are equivalent in O(3) but not in SU(2))

They are equivalent on their *local* action (Rotations by a small angle)

SU(2) - I

Instead of starting from rotations, we can just start from SU(2) defined as the set of all the 2x2, unitary matrices (with det=1): The algebra will stay the same as for O(3).

But now we are not bound to interpret this transformation of states as induced by a rotation of axis in the physical, 3D space.

We are free to interpret any SU(2) matrix as representing a unitary, unimodular transformation in the Hilbert space of any two-state, degenerate system.

We do not need to specify what is the physical system whose two independent states we take as base vectors in the Hilbert space.

What about higher representations? As for angular momentum, they can be taken as unitary, unimodular transformations of more complicated systems [One can think of these as *mathematically* composed of 2,3,... basic, 2-states building blocks, but this is not always *physically* the case]

SU(2) - II

Some matrix fun:

4 complex parameters $\rightarrow 8$ real parameters

4 unitarity conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
UU^{\dagger} = 1 \\
(U^{\dagger})_{ij} = U^{*}_{ji}
\end{aligned} \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{ij}a^{*}_{jk} = \delta_{ik}, \quad i, k = 1, 2
\end{aligned}$$
1 unimodularity condition: det $U = 1$
 $\rightarrow 8 - 5 = 3$ free parameters
$$\begin{aligned}
UU^{\dagger} = 1 \\
One & \text{diagonal generator, } \sigma_{3} \\
\rightarrow Rank & 1 & group \\
\rightarrow & One & \text{invariant function of generators}
\end{aligned}$$

U unitary $\rightarrow U = e^{iH}$, H Hermitian

Quadratic: $\sigma^2 = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \sigma_3^2$ $\det U = 1 \rightarrow \det e^{iH} = 1 \rightarrow e^{itr(H)} = 1 \rightarrow tr(H) = 0$

3 free parameters \rightarrow 3 generators = 3 Hermitian, traceless 2×2 matrices

Any SU(2) matrix can be written as a linear combination of the 3 generators, the Pauli matrices:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Spring 2007

What is Isospin? - I

When looking at strongly interacting particles, observe particle states similarly grouping themselves into multiplets of size 1,2,3,4

States of a multiplet *≅* Same mass

 \rightarrow States belonging to different multiplets must be distinguished by some internal quantum number: By analogy, call the corresponding observable the particle *isospin*

 \rightarrow States of any given multiplet must be identified by some *internal* quantum number: Call the corresponding observable the 3rd component of the particle *isospin*

Notice: Isospin symmetry is not exact (broken), still is quite good Indeed: $m_{-}m_{-}$ 020.57 028.27

$$\frac{m_n - m_p}{m_n} \simeq \frac{939.57 - 938.27}{939.57} \simeq 0.0014$$
$$\frac{m_{\pi^{\pm}} - m_{\pi^0}}{m_{\pi^{\pm}}} \simeq \frac{139.6 - 135.0}{139.6} \simeq 0.011$$

Spring 2007

What is Isospin? - II

Question: What is the observable we have called *isospin*?

Answer: There is no classical analogy!

Simply, when we first observe that neutron and proton are almost degenerate in mass, we can state they are just two states of the same physical system, the *nucleon*.

We guess the two nucleon states are the 'vectors' spanning the fundamental representation of a symmetry group, which we identify with SU(2). Remember: *Any* 2-state, degenerate system will exhibit SU(2) symmetry

Since (p,n) fill the fundamental representation, we guess SU(2) is also a symmetry of all the strongly interacting particles.

What is Isospin? - III

Therefore, we are led to predict that :

All strong interacting particles should fill some SU(2) representation

As for any other symmetry, we expect the assumed invariance property do correspond to a conservation law

What is conserved in this case? Since there is no classical analogy, we should stick to our algebraic skills to get insight

Now, SU(2) algebra is just the same as O(3), so we can expect the same conserved observables for a closed system of strongly interacting particles:

$$\boldsymbol{J}^2, \boldsymbol{J}_3 \leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{I}^2, \boldsymbol{I}_3$$

This is the origin of the common wisdom 'Isospin is like Angular Momentum'

Spring 2007

SU(2) Multiplet Graphics

Within any given SU(2) multiplet, states can be represented as points on a straight line

Reason is the group structure of SU(2):

3 parameters \rightarrow 3 generators

Just 1 invariant function of generators: \mathbf{I}^2 \rightarrow Multiplets identified just by I

Generators do not commute with each other \rightarrow States in any multiplet identified just by I_3

Define 2 ladder operators:

$$I_{\pm} = I_1 \pm iI_2$$

Action: Shift states right or left on the multiplet line, i.e. increment/decrement I_3 by 1



E.Menichetti - Universita' di Torino

Ω

1/2



Notice: *I*₃ eigenvalues *symmetric* wrt 0

Conjugate Representation

More fun with matrices...

$$\begin{split} \psi &= D(\alpha)\psi & D : \text{Any representation...} \\ \to D(\alpha) &= e^{i\alpha F}, \ F \text{ hermitian } \leftarrow \text{True because } D \text{ is unitary} \\ \psi^{*} &= D^{*}\psi^{*} & \text{Take complex conjugate of equations} \\ D^{*} &= e^{-i\alpha(F)^{*}} = e^{i\alpha\left[-(F)^{*}\right]} \equiv e^{i\alpha \tilde{F}} & \text{Get another representation} \\ \to \tilde{F} &= -\left(F^{*}\right) & \text{Relation bewteen new and old generators} \end{split}$$

Take D of SU(2) fundamental representation:

- F Hermitian $\rightarrow \tilde{F}$ Hermitian
- \rightarrow Real eigenvalues for both F, \tilde{F} , and $f_i = -f_i^*$

 \rightarrow Since f_i are symmetric wrt 0, so are f_i^*

True for SU(2), false in general

 $\rightarrow \{f_i\} \equiv \{f_i^*\}$ \tilde{F} eigenvalues are just a re-labeling of F's

Direct and conjugate representations are said to be *equivalent*

Product of Representations

Take a system made of 2 nucleons: What is the total isospin? SU(2) is equivalent to $O(3) \rightarrow Can$ use Clebsch-Gordan coefficients

But: Can also re-formulate this problem in a different way States of each nucleon span the fundamental representation of SU(2), **2** Then a 2 nucleon system span the *direct product rep*. **2** \otimes **2** Question:

What are the irreducible representations of SU(2) contained in any state of 2 nucleons? Need to decompose $2 \otimes 2$ into a direct sum of irr.rep.



Spring 2007

I-Spin Multiplets: The Nonstrange Zoo

			Ar	nazin	gly /	arge	e number of reso	nant s	state	S	
1				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_			LIGHT (F	LAVORED B = 0	
	p, n	P_{11}	****	$\Delta(1232)$	(P22)	****			IG(JPC)	,	$I^{G}(J^{PC})$
	N(1440)	P11	****	A(1600)		***		• π [±]	1-(0-)	 π₂(1670) 	$1^{-}(2^{-+})$
	N(1520)	, II	****	4(1000)	/ 33	****	$L_{2J+1,2I+1}$ $L=S,P,D,$	• π ⁰	$1^{-}(0^{-} + 0^{-})$	 φ(1680) φ(1690) 	$0^{-(1^{-})}$ $1^{+}(3^{-})$
	N(1520)	D ₁₃	de el else de	Z(1620)	S_{31}	****		 f₀(400-1200) 	0+(0++	 ρ₃(1000) ρ(1700) 	1+(1)
	N(1535)	S ₁₁	****	$\Delta(1700)$	D_{33}	****		 ρ(770) μ(782) 	1+(1	 f₀(1710) (1750) 	$0^+(0^++)$
	N(1650)	S_{11}	****	$\Delta(1750)$	P_{31}	*		 η'(958) 	0+(0-+	$\eta(1750)$ $\eta(1760)$	0+(0-+)
	N(1675)	D_{15}	****	$\Delta(1900)$	Sa	**		 f₀(980) a (980) 	$0^+(0^++)$	X(1775)	1-(?-+)
	N(1680)	Fie	****	A(1905)	E	****		 φ(1020) 	0-(1	 π(1800) ħ(1810) 	$1^{-}(0^{-+})$
	N(1700)	- 15	***	4(1010)	735	ى بە بە بە		 h1(1170) 	0-(1+-	 φ₃(1850) 	0-(3)
	N(1700)	D ₁₃	di de de	21(1910)	P_{31}	****		• b ₁ (1235) • a ₁ (1260)	$1^+(1^+)^-$ $1^-(1^+)^+$	$\eta_2(1870)$	$0^+(2^{-+})$
	N(1710)	P_{11}	***	$\Delta(1920)$	P_{33}	***		• f ₂ (1270)	0+(2++	ん(1910) ん(1950)	$0^{+}(2^{+}+)$
	N(1720)	P_{13}	****	$\Delta(1930)$	D_{35}	***		• f ₁ (1285)	$0^+(1^+)^+$	X(2000)	1-(??+)
	N(1900)	P_{13}	**	A(1940)	D	*		 η(1295) π(1300) 	1-(0-4	 f₂(2010) f₂(2020) 	$0^+(2^+)$
	N(1990)	<i>E</i> 17	**	A(1050)	E 33	****		 a2(1320) 	$1^{-}(2^{++})$	 a₄(2040) 	1-(4++)
	N(2000)	- 17 E	**	2(1950)	r37	du de		• f ₀ (1370) h ₁ (1380)	?-(1+-	 f₄(2050) 	$0^+(4^{++})$
	N(2000)	r ₁₅	* *	$\Delta(2000)$	F35	**		$\pi_1(1400)$	1-(1-+	$\pi_{2}(2000)$	$1^{-}(2^{-}+) =$
	N(2080)	D_{13}	**	$\Delta(2150)$	S_{31}	*		• $f_1(1420)$ • $\omega(1420)$	$0^{+}(1^{+})^{-}(1^{-})^{-}$	f2(2150)	0+(2++)
	N(2090)	S_{11}	*	$\Delta(2200)$	G_{37}	*		f2(1430)	0+(2++	$\rho(2150) = f_0(2200)$	$1^+(1^{}) = 0^+(0^{++}) =$
	N(2100)	P ₁₁	*	$\Delta(2300)$	Han	**		 η(1440) ρ₂₀(1450) 	$0^+(0^{-4})$ $1^-(0^{+4})$	fj(2220)	0+(2++)
	N(2190)	G	****	A(2250)	D 0	*		 ρ(1450) 	1+(1	n(2225)	or 4^{+++}
	N(2200)	017	**	$\Delta(2350)$	D35	-1·		• f ₀ (1500)	$0^+(0^{+1})$	$\rho_3(2250)$	1+(3)
	N(2200)	D ₁₅	**	$\Delta(2390)$	F37	*		• f'_(1525)	0+(2++	 f₂(2300) f₂(2300) 	$0^+(2^+)$
	N(2220)	H_{19}	****	$\Delta(2400)$	G_{39}	**		$f_2(1565)$	0+(2++	• 6(2300)	$0^+(2^+)$
	N(2250)	G19	****	$\Delta(2420)$	$H_{3,11}$	****	I=2 ??~	$\frac{\pi_1(1600)}{X(1600)}$	2+(2++	$\rho_{5}(2350)$	1+(5)
	N(2600)	h 11	***	A(2750)	1	**		a1(1640)	1+(1++	$a_6(2450)$ $f_c(2510)$	$1^{-}(6^{+}^{+}) =$
	N(2700)	K.	**	A(2050)	/3,13			f2(1640)	$0^+(2^{++})$	X(3250)	(^{??} ?) [']
	M(2700)	A1,13		∆(2950)	$K_{3,15}$	**		• ω(1650)	0-(1		
			Barv	ons				X(1650)	0-(??-)		sons
		T = 1/	201 y	$T = 2^{1/2}$	2			 <i>a</i>₂(1660) <i>ω</i>₃(1670) 	0-(3) T _	0 1
_		1-1/	2	1-5/2	2					1=	0,1

Spring 2007

Baryon Resonances Systematics

Two families of nucleon excited states: First, lightest states



Non-strange Baryons – I = 1/2



Spring 2007

Non-strange Baryons – I=3/2



Spring 2007

Non-Strange Mesons – I=0



Non-Strange Mesons – I=1



Strangeness - I

Strange particles discovered in cosmic rays at the end of the '40s, and then quicky observed at the first GeV accelerators Why strange?

Large production cross section \rightarrow Like ordinary hadrons Long lifetime \rightarrow Like weak decays

Understood as carriers of a new quantum number: Strangeness

Ordinary hadrons S = 0Strange particles S # 0

Strangeness conserved by strong, e.m. processes, violated by weak

Explain funny behavior, also predicting *associated production* to guarantee *S* conservation in strong & EM processes:

Strange particles always produced in pairs

Strangeness - II

For strong processes, *S* similar to electric charge and to baryon or lepton numbers But:

> *S not absolutely conserved S not the source of a physical field*

Large variety of strange particles, both baryons and mesons, including many strange resonances

Hypercharge

Generalize Gell-Mann Nishijima relation to

$$Q = I_3 + \frac{B+S}{2} = I_3 + \frac{Y}{2}$$

Spring 2007

E.Menichetti - Universita' di Torino

Baryons

Antibaryons

I ₃	S	nome
0	-1	Λ^0
+1,0,-1	-1	$\Sigma^+, \Sigma^-, \Sigma^0$
+1/2,-1/2	-2	Ξ^0,Ξ^-
0	-3	Ω^{-}

I_3	S	nome
0	+1	$\overline{\Lambda}{}^{0}$
+1,0,-1	+1	$\overline{\Sigma}^+,\overline{\Sigma}^0,\overline{\Sigma}^-$
+1/2,-1/2	+2	$\overline{\Xi}^0, \overline{\Xi}^-$
0	+3	$\overline{\Omega}^-$

Spin 0

Spin	1
•••	_

I ₃	S=+1	S=-1
+1/2	<i>K</i> ⁺	K^{0}
-1/2	\overline{K}^{0}	K^{-}

The Lightest Strange Particles

Isospin of Strange Particles

Isospin conservation in

$$\pi^- + p \to \pi^- + p$$

leads in a natural way to extend to virtual states like

$$\pi^- + p \rightarrow \left(K^0 + \Lambda^0
ight)^* \rightarrow \pi^- + p$$

Therefore strange particles should group into I-spin multiplets. Λ^0 only observed as a neutral state \rightarrow Singlet , I = 0Observe 3 charge states for K: Triplet?

 $\pi^- + p: I = 1/2, 3/2 \rightarrow K$ must be I = 1/2, 3/2

Quartets not observed \rightarrow 2 Doublets! Predict *two* neutral *K* states, with opposite *S*



Spring 2007

Bubble Chambers & Particle Zoology

Example: Historical Picture $K^- + p \rightarrow K^0 + K^+ + \Omega^ K^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^ K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^0 (unseen)$ $\Omega^- \rightarrow \Lambda^0 + K^ \Lambda^0 \rightarrow p + \pi^ K^- \rightarrow \pi^- + \pi^0 (unseen)$ Beam momentum 4.2 *GeV* Magnetic field 2 *T*



Old Hyperon Beam & Spectrometer

FNAL – '70s Beam & Detector of Hyperon Experiment



Figure 1 The Hyperon Magnet under construction

Hyperon Gymnastics

Spring 2007

E.Menichetti - Universita' di Torino

Old Hyperon Beam & Spectrometer

Reconstruct decays: $\Sigma^- \rightarrow n + \pi^-, \quad \Xi^- \rightarrow \Lambda^0 + \pi^-$

- π : Identification (Threshold Cherenkov) + Magnetic Analysis
- *n*: Calorimeter

p: Identification (Cherenkov π Veto) + Magnetic Analysis + Calorimeter

 $\Lambda^0 \rightarrow p + \pi$: Identification + Magnetic Analysis



Spring 2007

E.Menichetti - Universita' di Torino

Particle Identification: Cherenkov - I

Fast, charged particle passing through a dielectric medium Cherenkov radiation emitted for $\beta > \frac{1}{n}$, *n* refractive index

Main features:

Representative radiators

Emission angle:

 $\cos \theta_c = \frac{1}{\beta n}$ Cherenkov angle

For ultrarelativistic particles:

 $\lim_{\beta \to 1} (\cos \theta_c) = \frac{1}{n}$ Asymptotic angle

Medium $P_{thresh}(\pi)$ N_{ph} n θ_{min} eV⁻¹cm⁻¹ deg GeV Air 1.00028 1.36 5.9 0.21 Isobutane 1.00217 3.77 2.12 0.94 Aerogel 1.0065 6.51 1.3 4.7 Water 1.33 41.2 0.16 160.8 Quartz 1.46 46.7 0.13 196.4

Spectrum:

 $1/\lambda^2$ spectrum: Blue/Near UV very important...

 $\frac{d^2 N}{dx d\lambda} = 2\pi \alpha z^2 \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \sin^2 \theta_c \quad photons / cm^2, \ z \text{ particle charge in } e \text{ units}$ $\frac{d^2 N}{dx dE} = \frac{\alpha}{\hbar c} z^2 \sin^2 \theta_c \quad \approx 365 z^2 \sin^2 \theta_c \quad photons / (cm \cdot eV)$

Number of photons/cm is small...

Spring 2007

E.Menichetti - Universita' di Torino

Particle Identification: Cherenkov - II

Translate light signal into an electric charge: *Photomultiplier*, or similar Typical result with a PM:

$$\begin{split} N_{pe} &\approx 365L \int_{E_{\min}}^{E_{\max}} \varepsilon_{coll}(E) \varepsilon_{det}(E) \sin^2 \theta_c(E) dE & \text{N. of photoelectrons obtained} \\ & \text{Cherenkov angle depending on } E: \\ & \text{Collection efficiency} & \cos \theta_c = \frac{1}{\beta n(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{\beta n(E)} & \text{Dispersion of refractive index} \end{split}$$

Typically:

 $N_{pe} \sim 450 \sin^2 \theta_c$ Photoelectrons/cm

Threshold counter

$$\beta > \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow \frac{p}{E} > \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow \frac{p}{\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}} > \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow p^2 > \frac{1}{n^2} \left(p^2 + m^2 \right)$$
$$\rightarrow p^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2} \right) > \frac{m^2}{n^2} \rightarrow p^2 > \frac{m^2}{n^2 - 1} \rightarrow p > \frac{m}{\sqrt{n^2 - 1}} \quad \text{Threshold momentum}$$

Can discriminate among different masses with the same momentum

Spring 2007

The Strange Zoo

	~	արարարար	=_0	D.	****+	0	****		• K [±]	1/2(0 ⁻)
Λ	P_{01}	****		P ₁₁	**** 50	P_{11}	****		• K ⁰	1/2(0-)
Λ(1405)	S_{01}	****	=	P_{11}	**** <u>5</u> -	P_{11}	****		• K ⁰ _S	1/2(0-)
Л(1520)	D_{03}	****	=(1530)	P_{13}	**** - Σ + Σ(120Γ)	P ₁₁	****		• K ⁹	1/2(0-)
A(1600)	P_{01}	***	=(1620)		* 2(1385)	P_{13}	*		$K_{0}^{*}(800)$	1/2(0+)
A(1670)	S_{01}	****	$\Xi(1690)$		*** = 2 (1480)				K*(892)	1/2(1-)
A(1690)	D02	****	$\Xi(1820)$	D ₁₃	*** 2(1560)		÷*		$K_1(1270)$	1/2(1+)
A(1800)	Ser	***	$\Xi(1950)$		*** 2(1580)	D ₁₃	•		$K_1(1400)$	$1/2(1^+)$
A(1910)	D.,	***	Ξ(2030)		*** Σ(1620)	S ₁₁	**		K*(1410)	$1/2(1^{-})$
A(1920)	F 01	****	$\Xi(2120)$		* Σ(1660)	P_{11}	***		K*(1430)	$1/2(0^+)$
/(1020)	r ₀₅	****	$\Xi(2250)$		** Σ(1670)	D_{13}	****		K*(1430)	$1/2(2^+)$
A(1830)	D ₀₅	****	$\Xi(2370)$		** Σ(1690)	-	**		$K_{2}(1450)$	$1/2(2^{-})$
$\Lambda(1890)$	P_{03}	****	=(2500)		* Σ(1750)	S_{11}	***		K (1400)	$1/2(0^{-1})$
Л(2000)		*	=(2000)		Σ(1770)	P_{11}	*		$K_2(1500)$	$\frac{1}{2}(2)$
A(2020)	F ₀₇	*			$\Sigma(1775)$	D_{15}	****		K (1650)	$\frac{1}{2}(1^{+})$
A(2100)	G07	****			$\Sigma(1840)$	P_{13}	*		$N_1(1000)$	$\frac{1}{2}(1^{-1})$
A(2110)	F05	***			$\Sigma(1880)$	P_{11}	**		K (1880)	$\frac{1}{2}(1)$
A(2325)	Dog	*			$\Sigma(1915)$	F ₁₅	****		$K_2(1770)$	1/2(2)
A(2350)	H	***			$\Sigma(1940)$	D_{13}	***		• K ₃ (1780)	1/2(3)
A(2595)	1 109	**			Σ(2000)	S_{11}	*		• K ₂ (1820)	1/2(2)
71(2565)					Σ(2030)	F ₁₇	****		K(1830)	1/2(0 ⁻)
					$\Sigma(2070)$	F ₁₅	*		$K_0^*(1950)$	1/2(0+)
0-		****			$\Sigma(2080)$	P_{13}	**		$K_{2}^{*}(1980)$	1/2(2+)
0(0050)-		***			$\Sigma(2100)$	G ₁₇	*		 K[*]₄(2045) 	1/2(4+)
12(2250)		**			Σ(2250)		***		$K_2(2250)$	1/2(2-)
12(2380)		**			Σ(2455)		**		K ₃ (2320)	1/2(3+)
Ω(2470) ⁻		**			Σ(2620)		**		K [*] ₅ (2380)	1/2(5 ⁻)
Bar	vons	s, S=	-1,-2,-	3	Σ(3000)		*	Mesons, $S=\pm 1$	$K_4(2500)$	1/2(4-)
(Δn	tiha	rvone	s not sh	างพุท	Σ(3170)		*		K(3100)	??(???)
	uba		S HUL SI							

Spring 2007

E.Menichetti - Universita' di Torino

Higher Symmetry

Experimental evidence for several 'multiplets of multiplets'

J ^P =O⁻						
I	S=+1	S=0	S=-1			
0		η,η'				
1/2	K		\overline{K}			
1		π				

J ^P =1⁻							
I	S=+1	S=0	S=-1				
0		$\omega, arphi$					
1/2	K^{*}		\overline{K}^*				
1		ρ					

J ^P =2 ⁺							
I	S=+1	S=0	S=-1				
0		f_0, f_1					
1/2	<i>K</i> **		\overline{K}^{**}				
1		a_2					

Mesons

J ^P =1/2 ⁺							
I	S=-2	S=-1	S=0				
0		Λ^0					
1/2	[1]		Ν				
1		Σ					



Baryons

Remember:

Each square is a I-spin multiplet, with size 2I+1 Total of 45 particle states in this page!

Spring 2007

SU(3) - I

Try to find a larger group to encompass both strangeness and isospin into a unified symmetry scheme.

Requirements:

2 commuting generators, since both S and I_3 are defined within any observed supermultiplet (SU(2) has just one, I_3)

Multiplet structure matching experimental data

Take SU(3) as candidate to extend SU(2): Group of unitary, unimodular 3x3 matrices

9 complex parameters \rightarrow 18 real parameters

9 unitarity conditions:
$$\frac{UU^{\dagger} = 1}{\left(U^{\dagger}\right)_{ij} = U^{*}_{ji}} \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_{ij}a^{*}_{jk} = \delta_{ik}, \quad i, k = 1, ..., 3$$

1 unimodularity condition: det U = 1 $\rightarrow 18 - 10 = 8$ free, real parameters

As usual, for any unitary matrix

 $U = e^{iH}$, *H* Hermitian

$$\det U = 1 \rightarrow \det e^{iH} = 1 \rightarrow e^{itr(H)} = 1 \rightarrow tr(H) = 0$$

8 parameters \rightarrow 8 generators Generalize Pauli matrices to *Gell-Mann matrices*

$$\lambda_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$Two \text{ diagonal generators, } \lambda_{3} \text{ and } \lambda_{8}$$
$$\rightarrow Rank 2 group$$
$$\rightarrow Two \text{ invariant functions of generators}$$
$$\lambda_{4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_{5} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_{6} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$Quadratic:$$
$$Quadratic:$$
$$\lambda_{7} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_{8} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Spring 2007

SU(3) Surprises

 $F_{i} \equiv \frac{\lambda_{i}}{2} \quad \text{Definition}$ Identify: $\begin{cases} I_{3} = F_{3} & \text{Isospin 3rd component} \\ Y = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}F_{8} & \text{Hypercharge} \end{cases}$

Fundamental representation (3 x 3 matrices): 3

Find eigenvalues & eigenvectors for 3:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{cases} I_3 = \frac{1}{2}, & \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{cases} I_3 = -\frac{1}{2}, & \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{cases} I_3 = 0\\Y = \frac{1}{3}, & \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{cases} I_3 = 0\\Y = -\frac{2}{3} \end{cases}$$

 \rightarrow 3 independent base states

- $\rightarrow I_3, Y$ eigenvalues not symmetrical wrt origin
- \rightarrow Conjugate representation: 3* different from 3
- \rightarrow For both 3,3* hypercharge eigenvalues fractionary $\rightarrow Q = I_3 + Y/2$ fractionary!!! Y= B+S

Rappresentazione 3 Rappresentazione 3*

1/3

1/2

-1/3 🤇

-2/3

3

-1/2

SU(3) Multiplets



Products and decomposition into irr.rep.: Proceed graphically as for SU(2)


Hadrons and SU(3): The Eightfold Way



The Hard Facts: *SU(3)* Breaking

Ι	S=-1	S=0	S=+1
0		$\eta(547), \eta'(958)$	
1/2	$\overline{K}(496)$		K(496)
1		$\pi(137)$	

$J^{P}=1^{-}$			
Ι	I S=-1 S=0		S=+1
0		ω (782), φ (1020)	
1/2	$\overline{K}^{*}(892)$		$K^*(892)$
1		ho(770)	

J^P=2⁺

Ι	S=-1	S=0	S=+1
0		$f_2(1270), f_2(1525)$	
1/2	$\overline{K}^{**}(1430)$		$K^{**}(1430)$
1		$a_2(1320)$	

J ^P =1/2⁺			
Ι	S=-2	S=-1	S=0
0		$\Lambda^{0}(1116)$	
1/2	Ξ(1317)		N (938)
1		$\Sigma(1192)$	

J^P=3/2⁺

Ι	S=-3	S=-2	S=-1	S=0
0	$\Omega^{-}(1672)$			
1/2		$\Xi^{*}(1530)$		
1			$\Sigma^*(1385)$	
3/2				$\Delta(1232)$

As before, but including masses: *SU(3)* is not an exact symmetry

Mass differences within a multiplet are large, typ. $\Delta m/m \sim 10-20\%$

E.Menichetti - Universita' di Torino

SU(3) Breaking: Mass Formulas - I

Since SU(3) is a broken symmetry, try to find a sensible breaking scheme

Take a phenomenological step:

Take an effective Hamiltonian as:

Part SU(3)-Invariant + Part non SU(3)-Invariant

$$m_{hadron} \simeq \langle hadron | H_s | hadron \rangle, \quad H_s = H_0 + H'$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \left\langle a \left| H_{s} \right| a \right\rangle \rightarrow \underbrace{\left\langle a \left| U^{-1} \right. H U \right| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - transformed \\ state}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - trate}} H \underbrace{U \left| a \right\rangle}_{\substack{SU(3) - trate}} H \underbrace{U \left|$$

Spring 2007

E.Menichetti - Universita' di Torino

75

SU(3) Breaking: Mass Formulas - II

Since the largest breaking concerns strange particles, suppose:

$$H' \propto F_8 \propto Y$$
 Remember: $I_3 = F_3, Y = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}F_8$

According to *SU(3)* algebra: Gell-Mann Okubo

$$\langle a | H' | a \rangle \propto \langle a | F_8 | a \rangle \propto A + BY + C [Y^2/4 - I(I+1)]$$

$$m(Y, I) = m_0 + bY + c[Y^2/4 - I(I+1)]$$

S=-3 decuplet member not observed. What is the mass?

Take mass differences between decuplet members:

$$\Delta m_{ij} = m_i - m_j = b \left(\Delta Y \right)_{ij} + c \left[\left(Y_i^2 - Y_j^2 \right) / 4 - \left(I_i \left(I_i + 1 \right) - I_j \left(I_j + 1 \right) \right) \right]$$

From $\Delta(1232)$, $\Sigma^*(1385)$, $\Xi^*(1530)$:

$$m_{\Sigma} - m_{\Lambda} \approx m_{\Xi} - m_{\Sigma} \approx 150 MeV$$

Predict missing S = -3, J = 3/2 decuplet baryon with mass $m_{\Omega} \simeq 1672 \ MeV$



The Ω Discovery at BNL



Spring 2007

E.Menichetti - Universita' di Torino

77