



Joint ICTP-IAEA Advanced Workshop on Single Ion Technologies for Bio-medical and Materials Sciences

30 June – 4 July 2025

Single Ion Detection III Assessment of radiation hardness of semiconductors

Ettore Vittone

Physics Department, Torino University – Italy

www.solid.unito.it



defects in semiconductors and insulators"



Leipzig Univ.



Object of the research

Study of the radiation hardness of semiconductors

Tool

Focused MeV Ion beams to induce the damage and to probe the damage



Radiation damage is the general alteration of the operational properties of semiconductor devices induced by ionizing radiation

Three main types of effects:

- Transient ionization. This effect produces electron-hole pairs; particle detection with semiconductors is based on this effect.
- Long term ionization. In insulators (oxides), the material does not return to its initial state, if the electrons and holes produced are fixed, and charged regions are induced.
- Displacements. Dislocations of atoms from their normal sites in the lattice, producing less ordered structures, with long term effects on semiconductor properties.



Radiation damage is the general alteration of the operational properties of a semiconductor devices induced by ionizing radiation

- Displacements. Dislocations of atoms from their normal sites in the lattice, producing less ordered structures, with long term effects on semiconductor properties





Patent Pending

ICTP-01/07/2025



Modeling radiation degradation in solar cells extends satellite lifetime

Robert J. Walters, Scott Messenger, Cory Cress, Maria Gonzalez and Serguei Maximenko



Figure 2.Measured degradation of a single junction gallium arsenide (GaAs) solar cell under proton, electron,² and neutron irradiation.³ These data can be used to empirically determine the energy dependence of the solar-cell degradation thereby enabling on-orbit performance prediction. *P*_{max}: Maximum power. http://spie.org/x43655.xml Electron belts Proton Belts

Space environment \rightarrow

 \rightarrow wide spectrum of ions (protons) and electrons.

To understand the performance of a solar cell in the space radiation environment, it is necessary to know how cell degradation depends on the energy of the irradiating particle.



First order: proportionality, independent of the particle, between the damage factor and the particle NIEL

NIEL (Non Ionizing Energy Loss) approach:

measurement of K_{ed} only for one particle (at one specific energy)

K_{ed} can be estimated for all the particles and energies



Displacement Damage Dose Method





Characteristic curve is independent of particle

•Calculated NIEL gives energy dependence of damage coefficients

Q



Characterization of radiation induced damage:





First order: proportionality, independent of the particle, between the damage factor and the particle NIEL







⁶ CCE degradation induced by ion irradiation

Is a function of the damaging ion fluence



ICTP-01/07/2025



CCE degradation induced by ion irradiation Is a function of the ion energy and mass.



Probing Ion Beam (PIB) = He 1.4 MeV



CCE degradation induced by ion irradiation

Is a function of the material and/or device



$$\eta = \frac{Y}{Y_0} = 1 - K \cdot \Phi = 1 - K_{ed} \cdot D_d$$



CCE degradation induced by ion irradiation

Is a function of the polarization state of the device



$$\eta = \frac{Y}{Y_0} = 1 - K(V_{\text{bias}}) \cdot \Phi = 1 - K_{\text{ed}} \cdot D_{\text{d}}$$

Damaging Ion Beam (DIB) = He 1.4 MeV Probing Ion Beam (PIB) = He 1.4 MeV



CCE degradation induced by ion irradiation Is a function of the probing ions (PIB)



$$\eta = \frac{Y}{Y_0} = 1 - K(V_{\text{bias}}, \text{PIB}) \cdot \Phi = 1 - K_{\text{ed}} \cdot D_d$$

Probing Ion Beam (PIB)H1 MeVHe2 MeVH4.5 MeVHe8 MeVHe12 MeV

Damaging Ion Beam (DIB) = He 8 MeV





IAEA Coordinate Research Programme (CRP) F11016 (2011-2015)

"Utilization of ion accelerators for studying and modeling of radiation induced defects in semiconductors and insulators"



CRP Outcome

A methodology to establish material parameters which reflect semiconductor radiation hardness by their ability to predict CCE degradation as a function of accumulated structural radiation damage.





CONTRIBUTORS TO DRAFTING AND REVIEW

Garcia Lopez, J.	Centro Nacional de Aceleradores, University of Sevilla, Spain			
Grilj, V.	Ruđer Bošković Institute, Croatia			
Jakšić, M.	Ruđer Bošković Institute, Croatia			
Jimenez Ramos, C.	Centro Nacional de Aceleradores, University of Sevilla, Spain			
Lohstroh, A.	University of Surrey, United Kingdom			
Pastuović, Ž.	Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation, Australia			
Rath, S.	University of Delhi, India			
Siegele, R.	Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation, Australia			
Simon, A.	International Atomic Energy Agency			
Skukan, S.	Ruđer Bošković Institute, Croatia			
Vittone, E.	University of Torino, Italy			
Vizkelethy, G.	Sandia National Laboratories, United States of America			

89 pages | 42 figures

Date published: 2023

https://www.iaea.org/publications/12356/guidelines-for-the-determination-ofstandardized-semiconductor-radiation-hardness-parameters

Goals

- To correlate the effect of different kinds of radiation on the properties of materials and devices
- To extract parameters directly correlated with the radiation hardness of the material

Experimental protocol

Model for charge pulse formation (IBIC theory) Model for CCE degradation (SRH model)

Model for charge pulse formation (IBIC theory)

Formalism based on the Gunn's theorem

Adjoint equation method: the CCE is the solution of the Adjoint Equation

Pulse shapes calculation

Gunn's theorem

Solid-State Electronics Pergamon Press 1964. Vol. 7, pp. 739-742. Printed in Great Britain

A GENERAL EXPRESSION FOR ELECTROSTATIC INDUCTION AND ITS APPLICATION TO SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICES *

> J. B. GUNN IBM Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, New York (Received 2 March 1964; in revised form 26 March 1964)

Abstract—A new formula is deduced, under rather general conditions, for the charges induced upon a system of conductors by the motion of a small charge nearby. The conditions are found under which this result can be simplified to yield various previously derived formulas applicable to the problem of collector transit time in semiconductor devices.

UNIVERSIT Adjoint equation Method

Charge Induced from electrons

$$\mathbf{Q}_{in}(\mathbf{t}) = -\mathbf{q} \int_{0}^{t} d\mathbf{t}' \int_{\Omega} d\mathbf{r} \left\{ \left[n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}'; \mathbf{r}_{0}) \cdot \mathbf{v}_{n}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{V}_{i}} \right|_{\mathbf{V}} \right\}$$

is the Green's function for the electron continuity equation

The charge induced from electrons can be evaluated by solving a single, time dependent adjoint equation.

$$\frac{\partial n^{+}}{\partial t} = +\vec{\nabla} \cdot \left(+\mu_{n} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \phi_{0} \cdot n^{+} + D_{n} \cdot \vec{\nabla} n^{+} \right) + G^{*}_{n} - \frac{n^{+}}{\tau_{n}} \qquad \mathbf{n^{+}} = \mathbf{Q}_{in} \\ \mathbf{G}_{n}^{+} = \mu_{n} \cdot \nabla \phi \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_{n}}{\partial \mathbf{V}_{i}}$$

T.H.Prettyman, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. Res. A 422 (1999) 232-237.

Model for CCE degradation Shockley-Read-Hall model

Basic assumption:

- 1) In the linear regime, the ion induced damage affects mainly the carrier lifetime $\boldsymbol{\tau}$
- 2) The ion induced trap density is proportional to the VACANCY DENSITY

The experimental protocol

Samples under study

n- and p- type Fz p-i-n Si diodes Fabricated by the Institute of Physics, University of Helsinki

16 floating guard rings The frontal electrode and the guard rings are coated with AI (0.5 μ m]). The AI electrode has a hole in the center, 1 mm diameter. Different dimensions: 5 or 2.5 mm

C-V characteristics Depletion width-voltage

Experimental protocol

- Electrical characterization
- Electrostatic modeling
- ✓ IBIC map on pristine sample
- Irradiation of 9 regions at different fluences
- IBIC map of irradiated regions

Experimental protocol

- Electrical characterization
- ✓ Electrostatic modeling
- IBIC map on pristine sample
- Irradiation of 9 regions at different fluences
- IBIC map of irradiated regions

200

250

300

150

depth (µm)

100

50

2,0x10

1,0x10⁻³

0,0

Ó

PROBING THE PRISTINE SAMPLE

Pulse Height

400

300

200

100

IBIC map on a pristine diode probed with a scanning 1.4 MeV He microbeam;

Experimental protocol

- Electrical characterization
- Electrostatic modeling
- ✓ IBIC map on pristine sample
- Irradiation of 9 regions at different fluences
- IBIC map of irradiated regions

Z. Pastuovic et al., IEEE Trans on Nucl. Sc. 56 (2009) 2457; APL (98) 092101 (2011)

$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{DAMAGING SELECTED AREAS} \\ \textbf{100X100} \ \mu m^2 \end{array}$

Experimental protocol

- Electrical characterization
- Electrostatic modeling
- IBIC map on pristine sample
- Irradiation of 9 regions at different fluences
- IBIC map of irradiated regions

Z. Pastuovic et al., IEEE Trans on Nucl. Sc. 56 (2009) 2457; APL (98) 092101 (2011)

DI TORINO Pulse Ф Height \checkmark 400 Φ_6 \checkmark 100 µm 300 \checkmark Φ7 Φ_{\circ} D, \checkmark 200 100 500 µm \checkmark Fluence f 10 V 100 Counts O 100 V spectra 100 -Counts (bias voltage = 10 V and 100 V) from the central a measured 2D distribution regions of four of the IBIC signal amplitude the areas 0 after irradiation 420 440 460 480 shown in Fig. c **Pulse Height (Channels)**

Z. Pastuovic et al., IEEE Trans on Nucl. Sc. 56 (2009) 2457; APL (98) 092101 (2011)

IBIC

of

Experimental protocol

- **Electrical** characterization
- Electrostatic modeling
- **IBIC** map on pristine sample
- Irradiation of 9 regions at different fluences
- **IBIC** map of irradiated regions

Z. Pastuovic et al., IEEE Trans on Nucl. Sc. 56 (2009) 2457: APL (98) 092101 (2011)

the

IBIC

of

Z. Pastuovic et al., IEEE Trans on Nucl. Sc. 56 (2009) 2457; APL (98) 092101 (2011)

STUDI/ STUDI/ TANKING

DIB: Vacancy profiles

300 He He 2 MeV 8 MeV ionization profile (kev/µm) He 12 MeV 200 -Н 1 MeV н 100 2 MeV H 4.5 MeV 0 0 50 100 150 200 250 300 Depth (µm)

PIB = Probing ion beam DIB = Damaging ion beam

PIB\DIB	He 4 MeV	He 8 MeV	He 12 MeV	H 4.5MeV	H 17MeV
H 1 MeV					
Bias (V)					
H 2 MeV		(ANSTO)	(ANSTO)		
Bias (V)		10,20,50	10,20,50		
H 4.5 MeV		(ANSTO)	(ANSTO)		
Bias (V)		10,20,50	10,20,50		
He 2 MeV	(SNL)	(SNL)		(SNL)	
Bias (V)	10,50	10,50		10,50	
He 4 MeV		(ANSTO)	(ANSTO)		(CNA)
Bias (V)		10,20,50	10,20,50		0-38
He 8 MeV		(ANSTO)	(ANSTO)		
Bias (V)		10,20,50	10,20,50		
He 12 MeV			(ANSTO)		
Bias (V)			10,20,50		

Different bias voltages

Fixed DIB Fixed V_{bias} Variable PIBs

Variable DIB

Fixed PIB

FIXED V_{bias}

Fixed DIB Fixed PIB Variable V_{bias}

α_n Free parameter

$$Q_{s} = q \cdot \int_{0}^{d} dx \cdot \Gamma(x) \left\{ \int_{x}^{d} dy \cdot \frac{\partial F(y)}{\partial V_{s}} \cdot \exp\left[-\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{V_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] \right\}$$

N+

ICTP-01/07/2025

ICTP-01/07/2025

$$Q_{s} = q \cdot \int_{0}^{d} dx \cdot \Gamma(x) \begin{cases} \int_{0}^{x} dy \cdot \frac{\partial F(y)}{\partial V_{s}} \cdot exp \left[-\int_{y}^{x} dz \frac{1}{v_{p}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{p} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{d} dy \cdot \frac{\partial F(y)}{\partial V_{s}} \cdot exp \left[-\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dy \cdot \frac{\partial F(y)}{\partial V_{s}} \cdot exp \left[-\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] \right] \end{cases}$$

n-type Fz silicon diode

Damaging ions: 8 MeV He Probing ions: 1,2,4.5 MeV H, 12 MeV He Bias Voltages: 10,20 50 V

CAPTURE COEFFICIENTS

 α_n = (2500±300) µm³/s α_p = (210±160) µm³/s

n-type Fz silicon diode Damaging ions: 8 MeV He Probing ions: 1,2,4.5 MeV H, 12 MeV He Bias Voltages: 10,20 50 V

Fz silicon diode Capture coefficient

DI TORINO Solution of the adjoint equations

$$Q_{s} = q \cdot \int_{0}^{d} dx \cdot \Gamma(x) \begin{cases} \int_{0}^{x} dy \cdot \frac{\partial F(y)}{\partial V_{s}} \cdot exp \left[-\int_{y}^{x} dz \frac{1}{v_{p}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{p} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{d} dy \cdot \frac{\partial F(y)}{\partial V_{s}} \cdot exp \left[-\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{d} dy \cdot \frac{\partial F(y)}{\partial V_{s}} \cdot exp \left[-\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \alpha_{n} \cdot Vac(x) \cdot \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\int_{x}^{y} dz \frac{1}{v_{n}} \cdot \left$$

For very low level of radiation Linearization vs. Φ Effective fluence Φ^*

$$CCE(\Phi) \cong 1 - \alpha_n$$

$$\cdot \left\{ \Phi \cdot \int_0^d dz \cdot \frac{V(z)}{v_n(z)} \middle| \int_z^d dy \cdot \frac{\partial F(y)}{\partial V_S} \cdot \int_0^z dx \cdot \gamma(x) \right\}$$

= $1 - \alpha_n \cdot \Phi^*$

Very low level of damage

E. Vittone et al. / Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B 372 (2016) 128–142

Derivation of the Non Ionizing Energy Loss (NIEL) displacement damage formula

Constant vacancy profile Low displacement damage

$$\text{CCE} = 1 - \text{K}_{\text{ed}} \cdot \text{D}_{\text{d}}$$

$$K_{ed} = \frac{\rho}{M} \cdot \int_{0}^{R} dz \cdot \left\{ k_{n} \cdot \sigma_{n}^{eff}(z) \cdot \int_{z}^{d} dy \cdot \frac{\partial F(y)}{\partial V_{s}} \cdot \int_{0}^{z} dx \cdot \gamma(x) + k_{p} \cdot \sigma_{p}^{eff}(z) \cdot \int_{0}^{z} dy \cdot \frac{\partial F(y)}{\partial V_{s}} \cdot \int_{z}^{d} dx \cdot \gamma(x) \right\}$$

- **K**_{ed} = equivalent damage factor depends on
- ✓ Electrostatics of the device
- ✓ Carrier transport and recombination
- ✓ Ion probe ionization profile

Limits of applicability

Basic Hypotheses

DIB : low level of damage

Unperturbed electrostatics (i.e. doping profile) of the device

PIB : ion probe CCE is the sum of the individual e/h contributions No plasma effects induced by probing ions

Recombination coefficient: $\alpha = k \cdot \sigma \cdot v_{th}$

Ref.	Diode	PIBs	DIBs	Max Fluence (µm ⁻²)	$lpha_{ m e}$ (μ m ³ /s)	$lpha_{ m h}$ $(\mu m^3/s)$
[2]	Hamamatsu S5821	1.4 MeV He	1.4 MeV He 2.15 MeV Li 4.0 MeV O 11.0 MeV Cl	5000 2000 500 200	8800±1200	
[3]	Hamamatsu S5821*	1.036 MeV He	1.036 MeV He 2 MeV He	4000	10270±260	23500±2800
[1]	n.type Si PIN diode from Helsinki University	2 MeV He 2 MeV H 8 MeV He 12 MeV He 4.5 MeV H	4 MeV He 8 MeV He	20000	2500±300	210±160
[1]	p.type Si PIN diode from Helsinki University	2 MeV He 2 MeV H 8 MeV He 12 MeV He 4.5 MeV H	4 MeV He 8 MeV He	20000	2200±300	1310±90
[4]	Hamamatsu S1223	1.4 MeV He 2.3 MeV H 11.25 MeV He	11.25 MeV He	30000	1520±130	8300±800

[1] E. Vittone et al. Nuclear Instr. and Methods in Physics Research B 372 (2016) 128–142

[2] Ž. Pastuović et al. Applied Physics Letters 98, 092101 (2011)

[3] J. Garcia et al. Unpublished

[4] E. Vittone et al., Nuclear Inst. and Methods in Physics Research B 449 (2019) 6-10

CONCLUSIONS

An experimental protocol has been proposed to study the radiation hardness of semiconductor devices

Under the assumption of low damage level,

the CCE degradation of a semiconductor device induced by ions of different mass and energy can be interpreted by means of a model based on •The Shockley-Ramo-Gunn theorem for the charge pulse formation •The Shockley-Read-Hall model for the trapping phenomena

If the generation occurs in the depletion region, an analytical solution of the adjoint equation can be calculated.

Adjusted NIEL scaling can be derived from the general theory in the case of constant vacancy profile.

The model leads to the evaluation of the capture coefficient.

The capture coefficient is directly related to the radiation hardness of the material

