


Perturbative QCD for LHC Physics

Lorenzo Magnea

University of Torino - INFN Torino

Paul Scherrer Institut -- December 10, 2009



Outline

- Motivation and introduction
 - The why and how of PQCD at LHC
- Parton distributions
 - Picking quarks and gluons inside protons
- QCD Jets
 - The long road to the calorimeter
- Hard scattering cross sections
 - N^k LO and beyond: where we stand
- A Perspective

Motivation (I)

Motivation (I)

Preface

The workshop on 'HERA and the LHC' successfully brought together experimental and theory experts working on electron-proton and proton-proton collider physics. It offered a forum to discuss the impact of present and future measurements at HERA on the physics programme of the LHC. The workshop was launched with a meeting at CERN in March 2004 and its first phase was terminated with a summary meeting in April 2005 at DESY. The workshop was very timely with on the one hand HERA-II, expected to deliver more than 500 pb^{-1} per experiment by 2007, ramping up to full strength, and on the other hand three years before the first collisions at the LHC.

The following aims were defined as the charge to the workshop:

- To identify and prioritize those measurements to be made at HERA which have an impact on the physics reach of the LHC.
- To encourage and stimulate transfer of knowledge between the HERA and LHC communities and establish an ongoing interaction.
- To encourage and stimulate theory and phenomenological efforts related to the above goals.
- To examine and improve theoretical and experimental tools related to the above goals.
- To increase the quantitative understanding of the implication of HERA measurements on LHC physics.

Five working groups were formed to tackle the workshop charge. Results and progress were presented and discussed at six major meetings, held alternately at CERN and at DESY.

Working group one had a close look at the parton distribution functions (PDFs), their uncertainties and their impact on the LHC measurements. The potential experimental and theoretical accuracy with which various LHC processes such as Drell-Yan, the production of W's, Z's and dibosons, etc. can be predicted was studied. Cross-section calculations and differential distributions were documented and some of these processes are used as benchmark processes for PDF and other QCD uncertainty studies. In particular W and Z production at the LHC has been scrutinized in detail, since these processes will be important standard candles. It is even planned to use these for the luminosity determination at the LHC. The impact of PDFs on LHC measurements and the accuracy with which the PDFs can be extracted from current and forthcoming data, particular the HERA-II data, have been investigated, as well as the impact of higher order corrections, small- x and large- x resummations. Initial studies have been started to provide a combined data set on structure function measurements from the two experiments H1 and ZEUS. Arguments for running HERA at lower energies, to allow for the measurement of the longitudinal structure function, and with deuterons, have been brought forward.

The working group on multi-jet final states and energy flows studied processes in the perturbative and non-perturbative QCD region. One of the main issues of discussion during the workshop was the structure of the underlying event and of minimum-bias events. New models were completed and presented during the workshop, and new tunes on p-p data were discussed. A crucial test will be to check these generator tunes with e-p and γ -p data from HERA, and thus check their universality. Other important topics tackled by this working group concern the study of rapidity-gap events, multi-jet topologies and matrix-element parton-shower matching questions. The understanding of rapidity gaps and in particular their survival probability is of crucial importance to make reliable predictions for central exclusive processes at the LHC. HERA can make use of the virtuality of the photon to study in detail the onset of multiple interactions. Similarly HERA data, because of its handles on the event kinematics via the scattered electron, is an ideal laboratory to study multiple-scale QCD problems and improve our understanding in that area such that it can be applied with confidence to the LHC data. For example, the HERA data give strong indications that in order to get reliable and precise predictions, the use of unintegrated parton distributions will be necessary. The HERA data should be maximally exploited to extract those distributions.

The third group studied heavy flavours at HERA and the LHC. Heavy quark production, in particular at small momenta at the LHC, is likely to give new insight into low- x phenomena in general and saturation in particular. The possibilities for heavy quark measurements at LHC were investigated. The charm and bottom content of the proton are key measurements, and the anticipated precision achievable with HERA-II is very promising. Furthermore, heavy quark production in standard QCD processes may form an important background in searches for new physics at the LHC and has therefore to be kept as much as possible under control. Again, heavy quark production results from mostly multi-scale processes where topics similar to those discussed in working group two can be studied and tested. Important steps were taken for a better understanding of the heavy quark fragmentation functions, which are and will be measured at HERA. The uncertainties of the predicted heavy quark cross-section were studied systematically and benchmark cross-sections were presented, allowing a detailed comparison of different calculations.

Diffraction was the topic of working group four. A good fraction of the work in this group went into the understanding of the possibility of the exclusive central production of new particles such as the Higgs $pp \rightarrow p+H+p$ at the LHC. With measurable cross-sections, these events can then be used to pin down the CP properties of these new particles, via the azimuthal correlation of the two protons, and thus deliver an important added value to the LHC physics programme. The different theoretical approaches to calculate cross-sections for this channel have been confronted, and scrutinized. The Durham approach, though the one that gives the most conservative estimate of the event cross-section, namely in the order of a few femtobarns, has now been verified by independent groups. In this approach the generalized parton distributions play a key role. HERA can determine generalized parton distributions, especially via exclusive meson production. Other topics discussed in this group were the factorization breaking mechanisms and parton saturation. It appears that the present diffractive dijet production at HERA does not agree with a universal description of the factorization breaking, which is one of the mysteries in the present HERA data. Parton saturation is important for event rates and event shapes at the LHC, which will get large contributions of events at very low- x . Furthermore, the precise measurement of the diffractive structure functions is important for any calculation of the cross-section for inclusive diffractive reactions at the LHC. Additionally, this working group has really acted as a very useful forum to discuss the challenges of building and operating beam-line integrated detectors, such as Roman Pots, in a hadron storage ring. The experience gained at HERA was transferred in detail to the LHC groups which are planning for such detectors.

Finally, working group five on the Monte Carlo tools had very productive meetings on discussing and organizing the developments and tunings of Monte Carlo programs and tools in the light of the HERA-LHC connection. The group discussed the developments of the existing generators (e.g., PYTHIA, HERWIG) and new generators (e.g., SHERPA), or modifications of existing ones to include p-p scattering (e.g., RAPGAP, CASCADE). Many of the other studies like tuning to data, matrix-element and parton shower matching, etc., were done in common discussions with the other working groups. Validation frameworks have been compared and further developed, and should allow future comparisons with new and existing data to be facilitated.

In all it has been a very productive workshop, demonstrated by the content of these proceedings. Yet the ambitious programme set out from the start has not been fully completed: new questions and ideas arose in the course of this workshop, and the participants are eager to pursue these ideas. Also the synergy between the HERA and LHC communities, which has been built up during this workshop, should not evaporate. Therefore this initiative will continue and we look forward to further and new studies in the coming years, and the plan to hold a workshop once a year to provide the forum for communicating and discussion the new results.

We thank all the convenors for the excellent organization of their working groups and all participants for their work and enthusiasm and contribution to these proceedings.


We are grateful to the CERN and DESY directorates for the financial support of this workshop and for the hospitality which they extended to all the participants. We are grateful to D. Denise, A. Grabowski and S. Platz for their continuous help and support during all the meeting weeks. We would like to thank also B. Liebaug for the design of the poster for this first HERA-LHC workshop.

Hannes Jung and Albert De Roeck

Motivation (II)


Motivation (II)

LHC is a
large
HADRON
collider



Disclaimer

Disclaimer






PQCD Master Formulas

$$\sigma_H^{h_1 h_2}(S, Q^2) = \sum_{a,b} \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 f_{a/h_1}(x_1, \mu_f) f_{b/h_2}(x_2, \mu_f) \hat{\sigma}_P^{ab}(x_1 x_2 S, Q^2, \mu_f)$$

$$\mu_f \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_f} f_{a/h}(x, \mu_f) = \sum_b \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} P_{ab} \left(\frac{x}{y}, \alpha_s(\mu^2) \right) f_{b/h}(y, \mu_f)$$

Strategy




- Factorization proofs are highly non-trivial.
- Soft gluons rearrange partons before collision.
- Correlations are suppressed by powers of Q .

- Choose a factorization scheme.
- Compute $\hat{\sigma}_P^{ab}(\mu_0)$ for process A .
- Measure $\sigma_H(Q \sim \mu_0)$ for process A .
- Determine $f_{a/h}(\mu_0)$.
- Evolve $f_{a/h}(\mu_0)$ to the scale μ_1 .
- Compute $\hat{\sigma}_P^{ab}(\mu_1)$ for process B .
- Predict $\sigma_H(Q \sim \mu_1)$ for process B .

QCD to understand the initial state

Parton Distributions


Parton kinematics



- Large mass states are made at large x and central rapidities.
 - ◆ ... though not a light Higgs!
- Small x means limited Q^2 .
 - ◆ ... which implies big uncertainties!
- Altarelli-Parisi evolution is **up**, feeding from the **right**.
- **Precise** evolution codes are needed and available
 - ◆ ... splitting functions are known at three loops!
- LHC will measure parton distributions **on its own**

Partons from data

- **Different data sets** determine different combinations of parton distributions.
- **Global constraints** imposed by sum rules (momentum, charge, ...).
- **Strategy:** “global fit”. **Players:** CTEQ, MSTW, NNPDF, ...
- A **highly nontrivial** statistical problem!



Data set for NNPDF 2.0 parton fit.


- **Photon DIS** determines quark + antiquark combinations.
- **W DIS** determines flavor decomposition.
- **Scaling violations** determine small-x gluons.
- **High p_T jets** determine large-x gluons.
- **Drell-Yan p-n asymmetry** determines antiquark asymmetry.
- **W asymmetry** determines quark asymmetry.
- **Heavy quark production** and evolution determine heavy quark pdfs.

Parton parametrizations: standard approach


- **Select** a functional form for each distribution.

$$f_{a/h}(x, \mu_0^2) = x^\alpha (1-x)^\beta P(x, \gamma_i)$$

- **Fit** the parameters to experimental data.
- **Typically**: 7 functions, 20-25 parameters, ~2000 experimental points.
- **Characterize** fit based on experimental errors and correlations.



CTEQ tolerance criterion for hessian eigenvalues




- **Perform** standard χ^2 analysis with Hessian matrix.
- **Adjust** $T = \Delta \chi^2$ so that PDF's agree with all experiments to 90% confidence level
 - Warning: requires $\Delta \chi^2 \sim 50-100$!
- **Problems**:
 - χ^2 analysis requires **gaussian** statistics
 - different experiments are not always **compatible**
 - function space **not covered** by parametrization


A novel approach: NNPDF

GOAL: Provide a faithful representation of the probability distribution in the functional space of parton distributions.

- **Generate** N_{rep} Monte Carlo copies of experimental data reproducing central values, errors and correlations.
- **Train** N_{rep} neural networks, one on each copy of the data.
- **Compute** any function of PDF's with its error.



$$\langle \mathcal{F}[f_a(x)] \rangle = \int [\mathcal{D}f_a] \mathcal{F}[f_a(x)] \mathcal{P}[f_a(x)] \Rightarrow \frac{1}{N_{\text{rep}}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\text{rep}}} \mathcal{F}[f_a^{(k, \text{net})}(x)]$$



$$\sigma_{\mathcal{F}} = \sqrt{\langle \mathcal{F}[f_a(x)]^2 \rangle - \langle \mathcal{F}[f_a(x)] \rangle^2}$$


FEATURES:

Unbiased sampling of functional space.


Very many parameters ($250 \sim \infty$), allow fitting “any function”

Cross-validation prevents overlearning

Sample distributions with error bands




Valence quark distributions with uncertainties, log and linear scale (NNPDF).




Gluon distributions with uncertainties, log and linear scale (NNPDF).

Strange distributions

- The **strange quark** distribution is important.
 - It drives the uncertainty on σ_W/σ_Z .
 - It influences the determination of CKM parameters V_{cs} and V_{cd} .
 - It affects the **NuTeV anomaly**.
- Previously **assumed** proportional to light antiquarks.
- Now **determined** using neutrino DIS (NuTeV).



NNPDF determination of V_{cd}




- Strange asymmetry **compatible** with $s^- = 0$, but with large uncertainty
- The uncertainty **wipes out** the NuTeV anomaly
- CKM matrix elements V_{cs} and V_{cd} can be determined using


$$\frac{|V_{cs}|^2}{|V_{cd}|^2} = \frac{[S^+]}{[\bar{U} + \bar{D}]}$$

Strange distributions (total and asymmetry) with NNPDF, linear and log scale.

Caveat Emptor



Comparison of different CTEQ pdfs for LHC processes



Comparison of different CTEQ pdfs for Tevatron processes

- Parton distributions are the **dominant uncertainty** for “standard candle” processes such as **W or Z production** at LHC.
- The expected uncertainties at LHC are a **few percent**.
- A technical change by **CTEQ** in the **treatment of quark mass thresholds** (“ZM-VFN” to “GM-ACOT”) moved the cross section by **2σ** .
- Smaller heavy quark PDFs **by sum rules** imply larger light quark PDFs: these make W’s.
- **MSTW** reported a similar increase for related (though not identical) reasons.
- This illustrates the **complexity** and **impact** of PDF uncertainties: they must be well understood!

A parton distribution interface

The screenshot shows a web browser window with the address bar containing `http://projects.hepforge.org/lhapdf/`. The browser's address bar also shows a search icon and the text "LHAPDF". The browser's tabs include "INFN Sezione di Torino" and "LHAPDF :: HepForge". The browser's address bar also shows a search icon and the text "LHAPDF". The browser's address bar also shows a search icon and the text "LHAPDF".

LHAPDF the Les Houches Accord PDF Interface

hosted by CEDAR HepForge

Home

LHAPDF provides a unified and easy to use interface to modern PDF sets. It is designed to work not only with individual PDF sets but also with the more recent multiple "error" sets. It can be viewed as the successor to PDFLIB, incorporating many of the older sets found in the latter, including pion and photon PDFs. In LHAPDF the computer code and input parameters/grids are separated thus allowing more easy updating and no limit to the expansion possibilities. The code and data sets can be downloaded together or inidivually as desired. From version 4.1 onwards a configuration script facilitates the installation of LHAPDF.

Note: from version 5.7.1 onwards the PDF grid files are not bundled with the tarball.

Contents:

- Installing LHAPDF.
- Configuration options.
- List (and download) of PDF sets.
- On-line user manual.
- PDF set numbers
- A wrapper for C++.
- A wrapper for C++. (old version)
- A little bit of theory.
- Description of the .LHpdf files
- Description of the .LHgrid files
- PDFsets.index
- How to join the announcement mailing list.
- How to email the developers of LHAPDF
- View the Subversion repository.
- Tracker/Wiki
- ChangeLog.

Publications/LHAPDF reference
Name conflicts with CERLIB

Downloads:

Latest released version (07/10/2009):
5.8.0: [lhpdf-5.8.0.tar.gz](#)
5.8.0: [PDF sets](#)

Old versions:
5.7.1: [lhpdf-5.7.1.tar.gz](#) (PDF sets)
5.7.0 (full): [lhpdf-5.7.0.tar.gz](#)
5.6.0 (full): [lhpdf-5.6.0.tar.gz](#)
5.5.1 (full): [lhpdf-5.5.1.tar.gz](#)
5.5.0 (full): [lhpdf-5.5.0.tar.gz](#)
5.4.1 (full): [lhpdf-5.4.1.tar.gz](#)
5.4.0 (full): [lhpdf-5.4.0.tar.gz](#)
5.3.1 (full): [lhpdf-5.3.1.tar.gz](#)(patches)
5.3.0 (full): [lhpdf-5.3.0.tar.gz](#)(patches)
5.2.3 (full): [lhpdf-5.2.3.tar.gz](#)
5.2.2 (full): [lhpdf-5.2.2.tar.gz](#)
5.2.1 (full): [lhpdf-5.2.1.tar.gz](#)
5.2 (full): [lhpdf-5.2.tar.gz](#)
5.1 (full): [lhpdf-5.1.tar.gz](#)
5.0.0 (full): [lhpdf-5.0.0.tar.gz](#)
4.2 (full): [lhpdf-4.2.tar.gz](#)



Done

QCD for the observables in the final state


Jets

Jets at Tevatron and LHC


- Jets are **ubiquitous** at hadron colliders
 - the most common high- p_T final state
- Jets **need to be understood** in detail
 - top mass, Higgs searches, QCD studies, new particle cascades
- Jets **at LHC** will be **numerous** and **complicated**
 - top-antitop-Higgs to 8 jet final state ... , underlying event, pileup ...
- Jets are **inherently ambiguous** in QCD
 - no unique link between hard parton and jet
- Jets are **theoretically interesting**
 - Infrared and collinear safety, resummations, hadronization ...



Collisions at Tevatron: a cartoon




- A proton-antiproton collision produces a **top-antitop pair**.
- Each top quark decays into a **bottom quark** and a **W boson**.
- One of the W bosons decays **hadronically**, into a pair of quarks.
- The other W boson decays **into leptons**, yielding a muon with its antineutrino.
- All quarks **hadronize into jets** of colorless particles (pions, kaons, protons ...).
- The observed final state consists of **four jets, one lepton, and missing energy**.



Collisions at Tevatron: real life


The making of QCD jets



The first QCD jets seen by TASSO at PETRA demonstrate gluon radiation

- Hard partons produced in the collision may emit further perturbative **hard** radiation.
- All hard partons are dressed by **soft/collinear** radiation.
- Parton **coalesce** forming color singlet hadrons.

- A **jet algorithm** clusters measured hadrons into jets.
 - It must be stable against **soft/collinear emissions**
- Background radiation not associated with the hard event must be **subtracted**
 - **Hadronization**
 - **Underlying event**
 - **Pileup**



Single inclusive jet distribution measured at Tevatron by CDF

Jet Algorithms

- **Requirements.**

- ⌘ Infrared and collinear safety, for theoretical (and experimental!) stability.
- ⌘ Speed, for implementation in simulations and real life.
- ⌘ Limited hadronization corrections.

- **Algorithm structures.**

- ⌘ Cone algorithms: top-down, intuitive, Sterman-Weinberg inspired.
Warning! Infrared/collinear safety issues. **Solved** by **SISCone**.
- ⌘ Sequential recombination: bottom-up, clustering, adapted from e^+e^- collisions.
- ⌘ Define a distance between partons (hadrons)

$$d_{ij}^{(p)} \equiv \min\left(k_{T,i}^{2p}, k_{T,j}^{2p}\right) \frac{\Delta y_{ij}^2 + \Delta\phi_{ij}^2}{R^2}, \quad d_{iB}^{(p)} \equiv k_{T,i}^{2p}.$$


- ⌘ Choices: $p = 1$ (k_T); $p = 0$ (Cambridge); $p = -1$ (Anti- k_T)

- **A lot of recent progress!**

- ⌘ Gavin Salam *et al.*: **FastJet**, SISCone, Anti- k_T ,
Jet Area, Jet Flavor, Analytic Hadronization models.
- ⌘ Steve Ellis *et al.*: **SpartyJet**.


Safety of Jet Algorithms: a cartoon

Safety of Jet Algorithms: a cartoon




Three Hard Partons ...

Safety of Jet Algorithms: a cartoon




Pick the hardest parton as seed ...

Safety of Jet Algorithms: a cartoon




Draw a cone ...

Safety of Jet Algorithms: a cartoon




Sum the momenta to get a new seed ...

Safety of Jet Algorithms: a cartoon




Draw a new cone ...

Safety of Jet Algorithms: a cartoon




It is stable: call it a jet ...

Safety of Jet Algorithms: a cartoon




No more partons: algorithm ends

Safety of Jet Algorithms: a cartoon




There was a collinear splitting!

Safety of Jet Algorithms: a cartoon




Pick the hardest parton as seed ...

Safety of Jet Algorithms: a cartoon




Draw a cone ...

Safety of Jet Algorithms: a cartoon




Sum the momenta to get a new seed ...

Safety of Jet Algorithms: a cartoon




Draw a new cone ...

Safety of Jet Algorithms: a cartoon




It is stable: call it a jet ...

Safety of Jet Algorithms: a cartoon




Erase the jet partons ...

Safety of Jet Algorithms: a cartoon




Pick the hardest remaining parton as seed ...

Safety of Jet Algorithms: a cartoon




Draw a cone ...

Safety of Jet Algorithms: a cartoon



Sum the momenta to get a new seed ...

Safety of Jet Algorithms: a cartoon



It is stable: call it a jet

Unsafe Jet Algorithms

- **For Theory:** unsafe jet algorithms correspond to theoretical predictions that become meaningless beyond a given order.
- **For Experiment:** unsafe jet algorithms yield, event by event, a jet content that depends on emission of a soft pion or a highly collinear decay.

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 (1 + c_1 \alpha_s + c_2 \alpha_s^2 + \dots) \quad \dots \quad c_2 = \infty!$$

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \left(1 + c_1 \alpha_s + K \log \left(\frac{\Lambda}{Q} \right) \alpha_s^2 + \dots \right) = \sigma_0 (1 + (c_1 + K) \alpha_s + \dots) .$$

- **Infrared/Collinear sensitivity** at $N^{\text{P}}\text{LO}$ destroys the predictivity of a $N^{\text{P}-1}\text{LO}$ calculation.
- **Impact** depends on the specific **algorithm** and **observable**.
 - ξ The **single-inclusive jet cross section** is least affected: $\delta\sigma/\sigma < 5\%$ comparing SISCone and MidPoint Cone algorithms.
 - ξ **Multi-Jet cross sections** are severely affected.
 - ➔ **W + n Jets** existing **NLO** predictions ($n = 2,3$) are **not applicable** to MidPoint Cone algorithms.
 - ➔ For **Jet mass** studies, the overall **normalization** is affected.

Comparing Jet Algorithms


Algorithm	Type	IRC status	Ref.	Notes
inclusive k_t	$SR_{p=1}$	OK	[130–132]	also has exclusive variant
flavour k_t	$SR_{p=1}$	OK	[133]	d_{ij} and d_{iB} modified when i or j is “flavoured”
Cambridge/Aachen	$SR_{p=0}$	OK	[134, 135]	
anti- k_t	$SR_{p=-1}$	OK	[125]	
SISCone	SC-SM	OK	[128]	multipass, with optional cut on stable cone p_t
CDF JetClu	IC_r -SM	IR_{2+1}	[136]	
CDF MidPoint cone	IC_{mp} -SM	IR_{3+1}	[127]	
CDF MidPoint searchcone	$IC_{se,mp}$ -SM	IR_{2+1}	[129]	
D0 Run II cone	IC_{mp} -SM	IR_{3+1}	[127]	no seed threshold, but cut on cone p_t
ATLAS Cone	IC-SM	IR_{2+1}		
PxCone	IC_{mp} -SD	IR_{3+1}		no seed threshold, but cut on cone p_t ,
CMS Iterative Cone	IC-PR	$Coll_{3+1}$	[137, 138]	
PyCell/CellJet (from Pythia)	FC-PR	$Coll_{3+1}$	[85]	
GetJet (from ISAJET)	FC-PR	$Coll_{3+1}$		

A Les Houches compilation of jet algorithms, see [arXiv:0803.0678](https://arxiv.org/abs/0803.0678)

Soft gluon effects for jets

M. Dasgupta, LM, G. Salam


- Jet algorithms cluster particles into “cones” of radius R on the azimuth-rapidity cylinder.
- The jet energy is modified by “splash-in” effects due to the underlying event. They grow with R^2 .
- The jet energy is modified by “splash-out” effects due to soft radiation. They can be estimated analytically using soft gluon resummation.
- Soft gluon effects grow at small radius, with a non-perturbative coefficient that can be measured in electron-positron collisions.
- The best R can be chosen to minimize unwanted effects, depending on the measurement.



Areas of jets as reconstructed with an infrared safe clustering algorithm (G. Salam et al.)

$$\Delta p_t(R)|_{qq' \rightarrow qq'} = \mathcal{A}(\mu_f) \left[-\frac{2}{R} C_F + \frac{1}{8} R \left(5 C_F - \frac{9}{N_c} \right) + \mathcal{O}(R^2) \right]$$

Choosing the best jet radius



Shifts in transverse momentum for a 50 GeV quark jet, due to perturbative radiation, underlying event, and hadronization.

- **Perturbative** collinear radiation shifts the transverse momentum by computable terms proportional to **Log R**.
- The **underlying event** contributes terms quadratic in **R**, estimated by Monte-Carlo simulations.
- **Hadronization** effects are proportional to $1/R$, with a coefficient which can be experimentally determined.
- The **best choice for R** can be studied depending on machine energy, jet flavor, and on the goals of the measurement.
- Tuning the jet radius will be **important at LHC**, where multijet events will be **ubiquitous**

Computing the hard scattering QCD cross section

Higher Orders

Order by order: LO

Or: when is a problem “solved”?

- Computing **tree amplitudes** in gauge theories is a **nontrivial** problem ...

Njets	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
# diag's	4	25	220	2485	34300	5x10 ⁵	10 ⁷

- **Quantum number management** helps ...

$$A^{\text{tree}}(1, 2, \dots, n) = g^{n-2} \sum_{\text{ncp}} \text{Tr}(T_{a_1} T_{a_2} \dots T_{a_n}) A^{\text{tree}}(1, 2, \dots, n)$$

$$A^{\text{tree}}(-, -, +, \dots, +) = i \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^4}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \dots \langle n1 \rangle}$$

- The problem has a **recursive solution**



- ⌘ **Berends-Giele** recursion relations 20 years old and still fastest
- ⌘ **Twistor-inspired** methods lead to **new insights, new recursion** relations (**BCFW**)
- ⌘ **Factorial** complexity degraded to **power law** ($t_n \sim n^4$)

- Clearly **not enough** for **quantitative** LHC phenomenology!

Order by order: NLO

Light after the bottlenecks

- **Bottleneck #1: computing** loop integrals.
 - **Obstacles:** analytic structure, tensor integral decomposition.
 - **State of the art:** 5 points “standard”, 6 points “frontier”.
 - **Spectacular progress** with unitarity + “twistor” techniques.




- **Bottleneck #2: subtracting** infrared and collinear poles.
 - **Combine** $(n+1)$ -parton **trees** with n -parton **one-loop** amplitudes.
 - **Compute** singular phase space integrals for generic observables.
 - **General methods exist:** slicing, subtraction, dipole.

- **Bottleneck #3: interfacing** with parton shower MonteCarlo's.
 - **Practical** usage of a theory calculation requires four steps.
ME \rightarrow generator \rightarrow shower \rightarrow hadronization MC
 - **New** problem at NLO: **double counting** of first IR/C emission.
 - **Methods are available** (MC@NLO, POWHEG), implementations in progress.

Beyond Feynman diagrams: unitarity

- An **old technique** in QFT: reconstruct a **g-loop** amplitude from its imaginary part, which is given by **(g-1)-loop** amplitudes (Landau, Cutkosky, ...).
- **New developments** with modern techniques for massless gauge theories
Bern Dixon, Dunbar, Kosower (94), Britto, Cachazo, Feng, Witten (05)



- A **simple breakthrough**: **complex** momenta allow for **non-vanishing on-shell** three-particle amplitude
- **Multiple** unitarity **cuts** express discontinuities of the amplitude as **products** of lower loop amplitudes
- An **iterative** structure builds up linking **loop** amplitudes to **Born** amplitudes.

$$k_j^\mu \rightarrow k_j^\mu(z) = k_j^\mu - \frac{z}{2} \langle j^- | \gamma^\mu | l^- \rangle,$$

$$k_l^\mu \rightarrow k_l^\mu(z) = k_l^\mu + \frac{z}{2} \langle j^- | \gamma^\mu | l^- \rangle,$$

Unitarity and automation at NLO

- **Unitarity** is **not sufficient** to solve the problem, even at NLO.
- A **second cornerstone**: a **basis** for scalar integrals is **known**
 - For any number of particles, **no polygons beyond boxes**
 - All **relevant integrals** are **known** analytically around $d = 4$
 - The problem is now **algebraic**: compute the **coefficients** of the expansion

The diagram shows a circle with six external lines (two on the left, two on the right, and two at the top) being equated to a sum of three types of integrals. The first term is a box integral with four external lines, multiplied by $\sum_i d_i(D)$. The second term is a triangle integral with three external lines, multiplied by $+\sum_i c_i(D)$. The third term is a bubble integral with two external lines, multiplied by $+\sum_i b_i(D)$.

- A **third cornerstone**: perform the reduction **numerically** at the **integrand** level
Ossola, Papadopoulos, Pittau (06).
 - **Decompose** the integrand as in the basis by partial fractioning
 - **Compute** coefficients numerically by selecting special values of momenta
 - Completely **algorithmic** for general NLO calculations.

NLO factories

NLO calculations are now automated and being interfaced to event generators and parton showers: the effort has reached the industrial stage.


process	background	status - mostly from Feynman diagram approach
$pp \rightarrow VV + 1 \text{ jet}$	WBF $H \rightarrow VV$	WWj (07)
$pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} + b\bar{b}$	$t\bar{t}H$	$q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$ (08)
$pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} + 2 \text{ jets}$	$t\bar{t}H$	$t\bar{t}j$ (07)
$pp \rightarrow VV + b\bar{b}$	WBF $H \rightarrow VV, t\bar{t}H, \text{NP}$	
$pp \rightarrow VV + 2 \text{ jets}$	WBF $H \rightarrow VV$	WBF $pp \rightarrow VVjj$ (07)
$pp \rightarrow V + 3 \text{ jets}$	NP	$W + 3 \text{ jets}$ (09)
$pp \rightarrow VVV$	SUSY trilepton	ZZZ (07), WWZ (07), WWW (08), ZZW (08)
$pp \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}^*$	Higgs and NP	

A partial Les Houches wishlist, on its way to being fulfilled

Brands competing on the market:

- Blackhat (d=4 unitarity + SHERPA)
- Rocket (d dimensional unitarity + OPP)
- Golem (Feynman diagrams)
- CutTools (OPP + HELAC)

NLO: any number of gluons?



A **proof of principle**: gluon amplitudes computed at **isolated** phase space **points** for **fixed color ordering**: **factorial** complexity has been **damped** to **power law**.


Giele, Zanderighi (08)

Order by order: NNLO

Deep in the dark bottlenecks

- **Bottleneck #1: computing** loop integrals.
 - ⌘ **Obstacles:** analytic structure, tensor integral decomposition.
However: a **basis** of scalar integrals is **not known**
 - ⌘ **State of the art:** “nearly massless” 4-point amplitudes.
Ingredients for: **jet** production at **NNLO**.
 - ⌘ **Exclusive distributions** at NNLO are known only for quantities with **just one** detected particle in the final state (**DY, W-Z-H production**).
- **Bottleneck #2: subtracting** infrared and collinear poles.
 - ⌘ **Combine** (n+2)-parton **trees** with (n+1)-parton **one-loop** amplitudes and with n-parton **two-loop** amplitudes.
 - ⌘ Several groups working on a general **subtraction method**.
 - ⌘ **Only one** calculation completed to date: **NNLO** $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3 \text{ Jets}$.
Gehrmann et al. (07), Weinzierl (08)
- **Bottleneck #3: interfacing** with parton shower MonteCarlo's.
 - ⌘ *Hic Sunt Leones . . .*


NNLO: a teaser



NNLO rapidity distributions for Z and W production at LHC (Anastasiou et al. (03))

- Even for **inclusive** quantities, **50-100%** QCD corrections are **common**.
- “**K factors**” in general are **not** factors, distributions change **shape**.
- Theoretical **uncertainties** are greatly **reduced**.
- **NNLO** perturbative **accuracy** of order **1%**: **luminometry** possible at LHC parton distributions **dominate** the uncertainty.

Higher orders: the big picture




An assessment of where we stand, in the space of loops and legs (Maltoni 08)

Computing the hard scattering QCD cross section

All Orders

Soft gluon phenomenology at colliders



Data for the transverse momentum distribution of Z bosons produced Tevatron, compared to QCD with soft gluon resummation and non-perturbative shift (A. Kulesza et al.).

- The cross section **peaks** in a region **dominated** by **multiple soft gluon radiation**.
- Soft gluon effects can be **computed to all orders** in perturbation theory.
- They are **necessary** to understand **qualitatively** and **quantitatively** many distributions near **kinematic limits**.
- Infrared and collinear **singularities** of amplitudes turn into **logarithms** of ratios of kinematic scales.
- **Resummation** of Sudakov (double) logarithms **dominates** for $q_T \ll Q$.
- **Resummation** points to power suppressed, **non-perturbative** corrections that **shift** the transverse momentum distribution.


Infrared divergences to all orders

- **Infrared singularities** for any massless gauge theory amplitude are given by **correlators of Wilson lines**.
- **Infrared singularities** factor and exponentiate in terms of a **matrix of anomalous dimensions**.

$$\mathcal{M} \left(\frac{p_i}{\mu}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) = Z \left(\frac{p_i}{\mu_f}, \alpha_s(\mu_f^2), \epsilon \right) \mathcal{H} \left(\frac{p_i}{\mu}, \frac{\mu_f}{\mu}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right),$$

$$Z \left(\frac{p_i}{\mu_f}, \alpha_s(\mu_f^2), \epsilon \right) = P \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\mu_f^2} \frac{d\lambda^2}{\lambda^2} \Gamma \left(\frac{p_i}{\lambda}, \alpha_s(\lambda^2), \epsilon \right) \right],$$

- The soft anomalous dimension matrix can be **computed** directly in terms of special diagrams: “**eikonal webs**”.



A “web” diagram contributing to the soft anomalous dimension matrix.




An **all-order** formula valid for **any number** of external legs and to **all orders** has been conjectured (Becher, Neubert 09; Gardi, LM 09).

$$\Gamma_{\text{dip}} \left(\frac{p_i}{\lambda}, \alpha_s(\lambda^2) \right) = -\frac{1}{4} \hat{\gamma}_K (\alpha_s(\lambda^2)) \sum_{j \neq i} \ln \left(\frac{-2 p_i \cdot p_j}{\lambda^2} \right) \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j + \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{J_i} (\alpha_s(\lambda^2)).$$

Perspective

- The motivation provided by LHC has lead to great progress
 - Expected Signal/Background ratios tell us we need total control of the SM
- Perturbative QCD is now predictive to a few % accuracy
 - A massive challenge for a confining non-abelian gauge theory has been met
- Many theorists have been converted to an industrial effort
 - Parton Distribution Factories, NLO Brands, Monte Carlo Marketing ...
- Surprising progress in QFT, not just phenomenology
 - Completely new techniques have emerged, and connections beyond QFT
- We look forward to the challenge of real data!

2-Jet Event at 2.36 TeV



 **ATLAS**
EXPERIMENT

2009-12-08, 21:40 CET
Run 142065, Event 116969

Thanks to:

Stefano Forte, Nigel Glover, Massimiliano Grazzini, Fabio Maltoni,
Michelangelo Mangano, Gavin Salam, Tim Stelzer ...

Thanks for your attention!